| **No** | **Reference** | **Action Item** | **Responsibility** | **Target Due Date** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | SPRC 22-2 Minutes Topic 1 paragraph 4)., Page 2 Discussion | DEDSO to coordinate a meeting with the Wide Area Workflow (WAWF) team to review ASN destination vs. origin data. | DEDSO | 12/29/22 | Open |  |
|  | SPRC 22-2 Minutes Topic 1 paragraph 1)., Page 2 Discussion | DEDSO will work internally to develop DoD Internal Process Team (IPT) to tackle no PMR 856 & 527D & Unidentifiable Materiel IPT. | DEDSO | 12/29/22 | Open | Updated Component primary/alternate Distro. list will be notified of IPT date; tentative for early January 2023. |
|  | SPRC 22-2 Minutes Topic 2 paragraph 2)., Page 3 Discussion | Mr. Steve Nace & Mr. Ben Breen to discuss responsibility for disposal and/or shipment costs for PQDR materiel returns with ODASD Comptroller/Finance, then present Steve's 3 main questions from the 11/15/22 PRC 22-02 Brief to receive Finance’s weigh-in. | DEDSO/ DLA | 12/29/22 | Open | The 3 questions are as follows:  Question 1- Question was posed to all Components: Should we include a change that requires the originator of a SDR or PQDR to inform the action activity of whether they want the material returned upon completion of the investigation? For clarification, we are only asking for this information when the submitter is using a constructed document number. Not necessary for every SDR/SQCR.    Question 2- Who should be responsible to pay for return shipping?    Question 3- Who should be responsible to pay for disposal, and should that responsibility change depending on question number 2? |