



# Parts Standardization & Management Committee

## Survey Results

Fall 2014 Meeting

Boeing – Long Beach, CA



# Survey Summaries

## PSMC Meeting Participant Survey November 4<sup>th</sup> – 6<sup>th</sup> – Boeing, Long Beach CA



Name (Optional) \_\_\_\_\_

Organization (Optional) \_\_\_\_\_

1. Was this your first time attending a PSMC meeting? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

2. Which Subcommittee(s) did you participate in:

Systems Engineering     PM Tools & Data     DMSMS     Counterfeit Parts

Parts Management Implementation

3. Were the meeting location, facility, and accommodations satisfactory? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

4. General Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

5. What recommendations would you make to improve the Committee and / or future meetings?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. Was the meeting beneficial & will you plan on attending the next PSMC meeting?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Undecided \_\_\_\_

7. If Yes, what did you enjoy the most or find the most relevant? – if No, why not? \_\_\_\_\_


\_\_\_\_\_

8. Do you have recommendations for future speakers (Name, Organization, Topic)?


\_\_\_\_\_

# Survey Summaries

## 1st Time Attending?



## Conference Beneficial & Will attend Next Meeting ?




## Location & Accommodations Satisfactory ?






# Survey Summaries

**Subcommittee Participation\***  
(Based on Survey Results)



**Trends**  
**1st Time Attending ?**  
(Only For Survey Responses)





# Survey Telecon Results

Gen Comments
Boeing is a Great Host
Boeing was very gracious
Dave Davies presentation was relevant since it provided space view of topics such as supply chain risks mgmt & cybersecurity
Enjoyed the C-17 Tour - Thank You Boeing
Great Facility
Great Location
Great Meeting, Good Discussions & Interchanges
Great support from Boeing
Hosts at Boeing did an exceptional job!
Like the ability to visit an industry facility
Thanks David
Thanks to David and AI - Great Job!
The PM Implementation committee is needed to give us better systems engineering visibility
Well Done
would like to be on the PM tools & data subcommittee
Would like to know PSMCs plan to replace members as they retire

Recommendations
Continue the same great work
Encourage more Govmt participation
Good rhythm for day 1 through day 3
Agenda is Good. Accommodations at Boeing Great
Would be interesting to tour the Govmt side of PM
Would like to see the PSMCs leadership manage Risks, Issues, and Opportunities



# Survey Comments

Enjoy Most - Most Relevant?
Briefings
C-17 Tour
Discussion on potential changes to MIL-STD-3018
Enjoyed all briefings - especially Dave, Kelvin, & Rupert
Enjoyed Kelvin's Presentation
Having HIS, XSB, etc. present is very useful - allows time to discuss & understand software solutions and tools
Interaction with members
Networking
Presentations, Networking, Subcommittee Breakouts
Review of best practices - challenges
speakers
Swiss presentation seeing more interest in mechanical parts
Systems Eng. - Para 5.2 discussions most relevant
Tour & PM Implementation is timely & will help standardize the process
Updates to activities, Keynote speakers, tour, networking
would like to hear more on upcoming new programs

Recommendations for Future Speakers?
Re-invite Jeff since he's already provided the briefing
Someone from DoD or OSD
Use of existing Govmt software tools by OEMs. - describe benefits and challenges
get someone from embedded software component industry to talk about how they manage counterfeit parts



# Survey Comments

Enjoy Most - Most Relevant?
Briefings on counterfeit and lead-free
Aerospace corp. trusted sources
Discussions
Lead-free update
Presentations
Presentations
Updates where Gov'mt is heading & current industry practices

Recommendations for Future Speakers?
Boeing, C-17, Honeywell - Torrance
Impact on parts mgmt. & specs of RoHS / Environment
Parts / Provisioning from LOGSA



# Results For Telecon Participants Web Survey

Survey Questions	Response 1	Response 2	Response 3
1. Is this your first time attending via Virtual - DCO /Webex?	No	No	Yes
2. Which did you use? DCO or Webex?	DCO	DCO	WEBEX
3. Were the audio connections adequate?	Most of time, dependent on speaker	Sometimes the volume was a little low.	sort of - some folks were hard to hear initially
4. Were the video connections adequate?	Yes	Yes	Yes
5. What worked / did not work for this process ?	Late start for DCO participants in Eastern Time Zone made it difficult to participate in afternoon	Everything worked fine	Timing
6. Will you be able to attend the next (Spring) meeting at LMI in person?	Doubtful - funding not approved at this time	I hope so	Yes
7. Any other comments / suggestions to improve this process	Set up DCO for subcommittee discussions ... core policy guidance standards portion of PSMC.	(no response)	No