

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT**

1. CONTRACT ID CODE PAGE OF PAGES  
 1 4

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0006  
 3. EFFECTIVE DATE Feb 24, 2009  
 4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.  
 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)

6. ISSUED BY CODE  
 Defense Supply Center Philadelphia  
 Directorate of Subsistence, FTAF  
 700 Robbins Ave.  
 Philadelphia, PA 19111-5092  
 Thomas Schrank, Contract Specialist (215) 737-4526  
 7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)  
 (X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.  
 SPM300-08-R-0078  
 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)  
 Jan 12, 2009  
 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.  
  
 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)  
 CODE FACILITY CODE

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers  is extended,  is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:  
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;  
 or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE  
 A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.  
 B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).  
 C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:  
 D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

**E. IMPORTANT:** Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION ( Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

ADDITIONAL DETAILS OF THIS AMENDMENT ARE PROVIDED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)  
 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)  
 15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  
 15C. DATE SIGNED  
 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
 16C. DATE SIGNED  
 (Signature of person authorized to sign) (Signature of Contracting Officer)

**THIS AMENDMENT CONTAINS REVISIONS (ADDS/CHANGES/DELETES) TO THE SOLICITATION**

Please delete the spreadsheets attached in Amendments 0001, 0002 and 0003. Please use the spreadsheet that accompanies this Amendment 0006. **For evaluation purposes, the Food Services Operating (FSOS) catalog, items numbers 539 – 663, will be deleted from the Schedule of Items. Though the items are being deleted, each offeror is still to provide a distribution price from category numbers 70-73, which deal with FSOS items, on the Distribution Category spreadsheet.** Also, in Column J the column heading has been changed to remove “Delvd \$” from it. The new column heading should read “Invoice / Quote UOM”.

1. Page 160- 161, section A. paragraph 1, please disregard the change to solicitation in Amendment 0005 and update the first sentence to read the following:

Provide a brief performance record of your five (5) highest dollar value or most comparable Prime Vendor/Regular dealer contracts for the period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2008 (the “selected contracts”), whether they are commercial or Government contracts.

2. Page 161, section A. paragraph 1, sentence vi., please disregard the change to solicitation in Amendment 0005 and update the sentence to read the following:

vi. Fill rate, listed monthly for the 12 months of the contract immediately preceding January 1, 2009, with and without substitutions;

3. Remove Amendment change number 4 from Amendment 0003. This change does not apply to Korea.

**Additional Korea Solicitation Questions (Answers below are in CAPS):**

1. The spreadsheet included as part of Amendment 003 contains columns for 4 option periods of 1 year each on both worksheets. It also includes estimated annual quantities. The written portion of Amendment 003 revises the base term to two years but the base term values on the spreadsheet will reflect one year. Can we have the annual quantities changed to reflect a two year base term quantity and the spread sheets updated to reflect only one option period of two years? Also, the hard copy will be extremely hard to read do to size if this is not changed.

**THE CORRECT CONTRACT PERIOD FOR KOREA IS 5 YEARS WITH A ONE YEAR BASE PERIOD AND FOUR ONE YEAR OPTIONS PERIODS.**

2. Point #5. (P 44, Par C) - Many items manufactured with less than 90 days shelf life cannot be delivered with 14 days remaining shelf life. Certain items are manufactured with less than 30 days shelf life (thus also meeting the definition of less than 90 days), but it is physically and logistically impossible to transport CONUS sourced product overseas quickly enough to meet the requirement of 14 remaining shelf life days. What recommended solution?

**FOR THOSE HIGHLY PERISHABLE ITEMS YOU CAN LOCALLY PROCURE (KOREA) OR SOURCE NON-DOMESTICALLY FROM A VETCOM SANITARILY APPROVED FACILITY.**

3. Point # 6. (P 48-49) - "For those requested items with stock positions found to be below the required 300% surge/mobilization requirements, the Prime Vendor will be responsible for the airlift fees associated with those items." This requirement effectively means that the Prime Vendor needs to stock the AMD quantity plus an amount equal to 3 times the AMD. Carrying this high level of safety stock will result in excess and obsolete inventory and will prevent the Prime Vendor from meeting the shelf-life requirements of shorter shelf-life items. It also will result in aged product that could mean a less than desirable eating experience. The alternative is to fly product overseas at the Prime Vendor expense. Suggestion?

IT'S THE BUSINESS DECISION OF THE SPV HOW MUCH INVENTORY IS STOCKED AT THE OCONUS AND CONUS FACILITY(S). HOWEVER, THE SPV IS REQUIRED TO SUPPLY UP TO 300 % AMD AS THE OFFEROR DEEMS NECESSARY TO HAVE AVAILABLE. IF AN ORDER IS BELOW 300 % AMD AND STOCK IS NOT AVAILABLE, AIRLIFT CHARGES ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SPV. IF THE ORDER IS OVER 300 % AMD AND STOCK IS NOT AVAILABLE, AIRLIFT CHARGES ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GOVERNEMENT TO PAY.

4. Point # 12 (P 132) - f. The customer ordered more than 300% of the item's average monthly demand (AMD). Two customer orders placed a few days apart could total more than 300% of the item's average monthly demand, yet when measured on a single order basis would not be more than 300% of the item's average monthly demand. We would ask for discretion on the part of the Contracting Officer for such instances. Is this assumption correct?

YES IT WOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE CONTRACTING OFFICER'S ATTENTION IN THE WEEKLY AND MONTHLY FILL RATE REPORTS AND WILL BE REVIEWED ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.

5. Point #13 (160-161) - contracts for the period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2008 (the "selected contracts"). Numerical data is only available in our system for a 2 year period - 2007 and 2008 and is then expunged. Request Ok to use our most recent data. Also, Page 161, section A. paragraph 1, sentence vi. Mod #3 did not change the original verbiage of "Fill rate, listed monthly for the 12 months of the contract immediately preceding January 1, 2008, with and without substitutions". Was the intention to be, January 1, 2009?

YES, PLEASE SUBMIT DATA FOR 2007-2008, THE INTENTION WAS TO BE JANUARY 1, 2009 FOR FILL RATES.

6. Ecolab has a number of chemical items included in the market basket, roughly 25.

We contacted Ecolab to determine how we should proceed with pricing.

In talking to Ecolab's government sales department they are telling us that they ship this direct and that we shouldn't be bidding on these items. I asked them how their product was delivered to the locations and I'm waiting for an answer. They weren't sure if product was being delivered to the PV and distributed to the end user from there, which we would need to take into account as it would be similar to the UGR's, or if the product was actually shipped direct to the end user. Do we use the higher quote on these items from Ecolab and plan on procuring and shipping? Or will Ecolab deliver to our OCONUS warehouse and we include a calculation in our GFM distribution fee schedule for handling and delivery to the customer? Please clarify.

FSOS ITEMS WILL BE DELETED FROM THE SCHEDULE OF ITEMS FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES. HOWEVER, DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD THE FSOS CATALOG WILL BE PROVIDED TO THE PRIME VENDOR FOR SOURCING PURPOSES. THE CATALOG WILL BE FINALIZED WHEN THE GOVERNMENT DETERMINES EACH ITEM FAIR AND REASONABLE.

7. ON p 144 - S&S requirements, one of the items listed has 2 different pack/sizes. It appears that the 24/200 ml size would be the correct one. Can you please confirm which of the below listed sizes is correct?

8910-01-e29-3032  
Milk Chocolate UHT  
24/200ml co/cs  
12/8 oz

BOTH PACK SIZES ARE ACCEPTABLE.

8. Three copies of the Business Proposal are required and our intent is to gather vendor invoices and technical product descriptions etc. in separate binders. Does DSCP require three full sets of vendor invoices or will a single set suffice?

PLEASE PROVIDE INVOICE COPIES FOR EACH SUBMITTED PROPOSAL.

9. We need additional clarification regarding Market Ready items (FFV, Dairy, Bread, & Korea Specific items).

We need clarification as to what the responsibilities of the PV are, will we need to procure and distribute, or just distribute these items that are procured by a market ready contractor/vendor?

CURRENTLY THE PV ONLY DISTRIBUTES FF&V, BUT PROCURES AND DISTRIBUTES FRESH DAIRY AND KOREA SPECIFIC ITEMS FROM LOCAL VETCOM APPROVED SOURCES IN KOREA. AAFES BAKERY IN KOREA CURRENTLY HANDLES THE FRESH BREAD REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MILITARY.

In the event that we are to procure these items, will we need to provide price quotes on manufacturer letterhead and if so, can DSCP provide the names and contact information of the VETCOMM approved sources so we can attain quoted pricing? If not, can these items be excluded from consideration in the proposal?

THE FOLLOWING WEBLINK LISTS ALL VETCOM APPROVED SOURCES IN KOREA:  
<http://vets.amedd.army.mil/86256F90007C2D1D/Korea>