



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

August 20, 2014

**MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY AND FINANCE PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEES
(PRCs)**

SUBJECT: Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) 1103, Revise DLMS 824R, Reject Advice, to Include Rejections of Logistics Bills, Clarify use for Rejection of DLMS MILSTRIP Transactions, and Document Administrative Updates (Finance/Supply)

The attached change to DLM 4000.25, Defense Logistics Management System is approved for staggered implementation.

Addressees may direct questions to Mr. Robert Hammond, Chair, Finance PRC, email finance@hq.dla.mil; Ms. Ellen Hilert, Co-Chair, Supply PRC, email: ellen.hilert@dla.mil or; Ms. Heidi Daverede, DOD MILSTRIP Alternate, 703-767-5111; DSN 427-5111, e-mail: heidi.daverede@dla.mil. Others must contact their Component/Agency designated representative shown at : <https://www2.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/CertAccess/SvcPointsPOC/allpoc.asp>. (DOD Common Access Card restricted).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donald C. Pipp", is positioned above the typed name.

DONALD C. PIPP
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office

Attachment
As stated

cc:
ODASD (SCI)

**Attachment to ADC 1103,
Revise DLMS 824R, Reject Advice, to Include Rejection of Logistics
Bills, Clarify use for Rejection of DLMS MILSTRIP Transactions,
and Document Administrative Updates**

1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION:

a. Technical POC: Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO)

b. Functional POC: DLMSO

(1) **Finance:** Robert Hammond, Chair, Finance PRC, email: finance@hq.dla.mil

(2) **Supply:** Ellen Hilert, Co-Chair, Supply PRC, email: ellen.hilert@dlm.dla.mil

2. FUNCTIONAL AREAS: Finance and Supply

3. REFERENCES:

a. [Approved DLMS Change \(ADC\) 179](#), Revision to DLMS Supplement 824R, Reject Advice, to Include DAASC Narrative Rejects, and Expansion for Rejection of Additional DLMS Transactions, dated September 13, 2005

b. [Approved Addendum to ADC 1043 \(ADC 1043A\)](#), Revised Procedures for Department of Defense (DOD) Standard Line of Accounting (SLOA)/Accounting Classification to Support Transaction Rejection Requirements, dated August 20, 2014

c. [ADC 1043](#), Revisions for Department of Defense (DOD) Standard Line of Accounting (SLOA)/Accounting Classification (Finance/Supply), dated September 18, 2013

d. [ADC 400](#), Elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process no Longer Required by the DOD Components, dated April 11, 2012

e. DLM 4000.25, Defense Logistics Management System, linked at www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/elib/manuel/dlm/dlm_pubs.asp

f. DLM 4000.25-1, Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedures (MILSTRIP), linked at www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/elib/manuel/dlm/dlm_pubs.asp

g. DLM 4000.25-2, Military Standard Transaction Reporting and Accountability Procedures (MILSTRAP), linked at www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/elib/manuel/dlm/dlm_pubs.asp

4. APPROVED CHANGE(S):

a. Brief Overview: This change modifies the DLMS 824R to expand the scope to include rejection of logistics bills and make administrative adjustments to convert the

supplement into an implementation convention (IC) and to reflect current element use by DLA Transaction Services. Significant modifications to this DLMS change since staffing the PDC are highlighted in green.

b. Background:

(1) DLMS 824R was initially developed for rejection of DLMS transactions associated with MILSTRAP providing legacy Document Identifier Code DZG functionality, with specific reject advice codes. However, the 824R scope was expanded under the DLMS concept of operations and was authorized for use with other DLMS supply transactions (Reference 3.a.). In practice, it was also being used for DLMS Finance transactions with narrative messages citing the reason for rejection. DLMS 824R is specifically intended to be used by DLA Transaction Services for narrative message type rejects for DLMS transactions subject to rejection by Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) narrative messages, as well as coded rejects using the Reject Advice Code in the 2/LQ/086 segment.

(2) This change was developed concurrent to ADC 1043A (Reference 3.b.). ADC 1043A defines the DLMS 824R as the common means for communicating when a DLMS transaction fails a new edit established under ADC 1043 (reference 3.c.), relevant to specific supply and finance DLMS transactions.

c. Procedures, Transactions, Data Elements, and Processing Details in Use Today (Finance): Logistics Bills, DLMS 810L (MILSBILLS legacy DIC F-series/G-series), are rejected by returning the rejected bill with a narrative reason for rejection. DLA Transaction Services rejects bills using the DLMS 824R with the appropriate narrative, but there are no rejection code qualifiers to facilitate automation and analysis and use of DLMS 824R was not previously documented in DLMS for this purpose.. DLA Transaction Services also includes a one record position reject reason code in RP 4 of the legacy Summary Bill and in MILSINQ. Currently used codes and reasons for rejection include:

V - Unauthorized "Bill To"

- ADDR BILL TO NOT AUTHORIZED FOR INTERFUND USE
- BILL OFC ADDR NOT AUTHORIZED FOR INTERFUND USE
- VALIDATE VALUE OF FE/GE ENTRY

W - F3885 Not Authorized

- ACCOUNT UNAUTHORIZED FOR USE IN INTERFUND BILL

X - Dollar Value Summary not equal to Details

- DOLLAR VALUE OF DETAIL NOT EQUAL TO SUMMARY

Y - Card Count Summary not equal to Details

- DOC COUNT NOT EQUAL TO COUNT IN RP 5-7 OF SBR

Z - Invalid "Bill To"

- BILLING ADDRESS IN RP 30-35 NOT IN DAAS RECORDS
- ADDR BILL TO IS INVALID FOR DOCUMENT ID

STAFFING NOTE: DLA Transaction Services has shortened all legacy transaction reject narratives to a maximum of 53 characters to accommodate internal DLA Transaction business processes. For example, MILSBILLS reject narrative for reject code Y was changed from " DOC COUNT NOT EQUAL TO COUNT IN RP 5-7 OF SUMMARY BILL" to "DOLLAR VALUE OF DETAIL NOT EQUAL TO SUMMARY". It was not anticipated that these changes impact legacy systems; however, Components were asked to identify any known potential impacts. No impacts were reported during staffing.

d. Approved Change in Detail:

(1) Document finance as within the scope of the 824R and the existing narrative messages.

(2) Establish Reject Advice Codes BV, BW, BX, BY, and BZ to accommodate current reasons for rejection of the DLMS 810L, Logistics Bill.

(3) Document the existing capability at DAAS to reject finance transactions using DLMS 824R with narrative messages.

(4) In accordance with new DLMS naming conventions, update previous references to "DLMS Supplements" with "DLMS Implementation Conventions".

(5) Transition notes from Federal Notes to DLMS Notes as appropriate and remove all Federal Notes.

(6) Document current usage of BGN04 and BGN05 by DLA Transaction Services.

(7) Document transaction rejection applicability to supply transactions (MILSTRIP/MILSTRAP) in DLM Supply Volume.

e. Revisions to DLM 4000.25 Manuals:

(1) Modify DLM 4000.25, Volume 1, Chapter 4 to better represent existing procedures and to indicate that the 824R is applicable to DLMS finance transactions.

"C4.2.2. Reject-Error Routing. Routing of the reject is from the rejecting activity to the sending activity. This will typically lead to one of two scenarios:

C4.2.2.1. DLA Transaction Services Transaction Reject. ~~In this case~~ Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) ~~shall~~ uses the DLMS 824R, Reject Advice Transaction,

to report the error back to the originating activity, which must correct and retransmit the transaction.

C4.2.2.1.1. The Reject Advice Transaction reports the unique document number of the erroneous transaction **and/or other pertinent information to identify the erroneous transaction** including reject advice codes (when available) identifying one or more specific error conditions.

C4.2.2.1.2. Where specific reject advice codes are not established to identify the error condition causing the transaction to fail, DLA Transaction Services uses the DLMS 824R to provide narrative message rejection of any DLMS transaction using procedures described in paragraph C4.2.4.2.

C4.2.2.1.3. A combination of reject advice codes and clarifying narrative may be used to facilitate interpretation of the error condition.

C4.2.2.2. Activity Transaction Reject ~~from~~ DAAS. **A potential future enhancement will allow a** An activity receiving a transaction from DAAS ~~shall~~ report the error back to the transaction originator using DLMS 824R.¹ **Under the planned enhancement DAAS shall will** compare the rejected information to an image of the transaction as they received it from the originating activity. If DAAS determines it caused the error, DAAS ~~shall~~ correct and retransmit the transaction. If DAAS determines the originating activity caused the error, then DAAS ~~shall~~ initiate another Reject Advice Transaction back to the originating activity, as in the first scenario.

C4.2.3. Application Program Use of DLMS 824R, Reject Advice. If a DoD Component application program cannot process a received transaction, it ~~shall~~ send a DLMS 824R, Reject Advice Transaction back to the sending activity. The Reject Advice Transaction reports the unique document number, **and/or other pertinent information to identify** of the erroneous transaction, and codes identifying one or more specific error conditions.

C4.2.3.1. Rejection by Specific Reject Advice Code

C4.2.3.1.1 Initially, DLMS 824R was developed to provide the functionality of legacy Military Standard Transaction Reporting and Accountability Procedures (MILSTRAP) Document Identifier Code (DIC) DZG, Transaction Reject. As such it rejects the following DLMS transactions with legacy MILSTRAP functionality: DLMS Transactions 527D, 527R, 536L, 830R, 830W, 846A, 846D, 846F, 846I, 846P, 846R, 846S, 867D, 867I, 870L, 888I, and 947I, citing specific reject advice codes in the LQ segment.

C4.2.3.1.2. Additionally, the DLMS 824R is authorized for use with ~~other~~ DLMS supply (**including MILSTRIP transactions**), **finance**, and contract administration transactions that are not specifically identified in this chapter.

C4.2.3.2. However, ~~the~~ Use of DLMS 824R does not ~~supersede~~ **replace** procedures for error identification addressed by DLMS 140A, Small Arms and Light Weapons (SA/LW), DLMS 870S, Supply Status, or DLMS 842A/R, DoD Supply Discrepancy Report Reply. DLMS 824R codes may be expanded in the future as requirements are identified and implemented.

1 Not available for use without prior coordination.

~~C4.2.3.2. DAAS Rejects. DLA Transaction Services uses the DLMS 824R to provide narrative message rejection of any DLMS transaction as described in paragraph C4.2.4.3.~~

C4.2.4. Characteristics of Use

C4.2.4.1. Application Identified Error Examples. Even with stringent editing performed by the EDI translator, some error conditions will occur that only the more complex application program edits can identify. These errors may include:

C4.2.4.1.1. Invalid item identification.

C4.2.4.1.2. Quantity of zero when a nonzero quantity is required.

C4.2.4.1.3. Invalid DLMS code received in the LQ02 Segment. The DLMS 824R applies only when a received transaction fails to comply with the application-level rules/formats specified in the ~~DLMS Supplement~~ **implementation convention**.

~~C4.2.4.2. Violations of DoD Business Process Rules Where Reject Advice Codes Are Identified~~

~~C4.2.4.2.1. Exceptions. DLMS 824R does not apply when specifying violation of an internal DoD Component or activity business policy.~~

~~C4.2.4.2.2. Reporting. Receivers of transactions containing these types of errors shall report the errors back to the sender using the DLMS Supplement specified in the appropriate functional volume. Frequently, the same DLMS Supplement number used in the erroneous transaction set is also used to report back the errors.~~

C4.2.4.2~~3~~. DLA Transaction Services Receipt and Generation of DLMS 824R Reject Advice”

{Renumber subparagraphs of current C4.2.4.3. to C4.2.4.2.}

(2) Revise DLM 4000.25, Volume 2, Chapter 1 to document applicability of DLMS 824R to the supply functional area and update table of contents.

“C1.9 TRANSACTION REJECTION. DLMS Volume 1, Chapter 4 prescribes the procedures for the use of the DLMS 824R Reject Advice Transaction to exchange information about functional errors not covered by DLMS status or other type of supply transaction, including MILSTRIP and MILSTRAP functional areas. The Reject Advice Transaction reports the unique document number, and/or other pertinent information to identify the rejected transaction, and codes identifying one or more specific error conditions. Where specific reject advice codes are not established to identify the error condition causing the transaction to fail, DLA Transaction Services may use the Reject Advice Transaction to

provide narrative message rejection of the erroneous DLMS transaction. A combination of reject advice codes and clarifying narrative may be used to facilitate interpretation of the error condition.”

(3) Modify DLM 4000.25-2, MILSTRAP Appendix 2.8 Reject Advice Codes to include codes supporting existing DAAS logistics bill reject codes.

BV Rejected. DoDAAC in the transaction does not have the appropriate authority code for its intended use

BW Rejected. Suspense account is not authorized for Interfund use

BX Rejected. Total dollar value detail of bills is not equal to total dollar value of the summary bill

BY Rejected. Count of detail bills is not equal to the count in the summary bill (MILS RP 5-7, DLMS 810L 3/CTT01/070)

BZ Rejected. DoDAAC is not valid in DAAS

(4) Modify DLM 4000.25, Volume 4, Appendix 2.11 to add a listing for “Reject Advice Code” with DLM 4000.25-2 as the authoritative source.

(5) Modify DLM 4000.25, Volume 4, Chapter 2 to document use of DLMS 824R to reject logistics bills.

C2.2.3.2.6. DLA Transaction Services will reject bills when they fail any of the edits. See Chapter 6.2 for information about the routing and editing of bills processed through DLA Transaction Services. **Rejected bills will be reported back to the originating activity using DLMS 824R (Reject Advice Transaction) citing the applicable Reject Advice Code from DLM 4000.25-2, MILSTRAP, Appendix 2.8.** Rejected bills **may include** ~~will be returned to the originating communications center with~~ a narrative description indicating the reason for rejection **in addition to the Reject Advice Code.** Billing offices will correct the rejected bills and resubmit them to the DLA Transaction Services. Billing offices will ensure that all appropriate adjustments for rejected billings and interfund collections are made to seller accounting records.

(6) Revise DLM 4000.25, Volume 4, Chapter 6 to document use of DLMS 824R as the transaction for DAAS to report back rejected bills to the originator or originating communications center:

“C6.2.2. Bill Editing **and Billed Error Rejection**

C6.2.2.1. DAAS ~~shall~~ **will** edit and reject any bill that fails any of the following edits:

....[intervening text not shown]

C6.2.2.2 **Rejected bills will be reported back to the originating activity using DLMS 824R (Reject Advice Transaction) citing the applicable Reject Advice Code from DLM**

4000.25-2, MILSTRAP, Appendix 2.8. Rejected bills ~~shall be returned to the originator or originating communications center and~~ may include a narrative description indicating the reason for rejection in addition to the Reject Advice Code. ***In addition, the second record position of the Reject Advice Code will be inserted in rp4 of the MILSBILLS legacy DIC FS_/GS_ Summary Bill and displayed in MILSINQ, hyperlinked to the narrative reason for rejection.***

(7) Update DLM 4000.25 Volume 4, Appendix 3 Record Format:

DIC FS1/FS2/GS1/GS2 FORMAT

SUMMARY BILLING RECORD

<u>Field Legend</u>	<u>Position(s)</u>	<u>Entry and Instructions</u>
DI Code	1-3	Enter FS1 or GS1 (net charge) FS2 or GS2 (net credit).
Internal Service Use	4	Reserved for internal <u>billed</u> office use. Billing office will leave blank. <i>Used by DAAS to indicate reason for bill rejection based on the second character of the applicable reject advice code; see DLM 4000.25-2, MILSTRAP, Appendix 2.8^[1]</i>

1 See ADC 1103.

(8) Revise DLMS 824R as shown in Enclosure 1.

f. Alternatives: None identified.

g. Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1103 Staffing Response/Comment Resolution:
Concurrence and resolution of comments is shown at Enclosure 2.

5. REASON FOR CHANGE: Documents expanded use of DLMS 824R to reject logistics bills for functional business rules reasons, administrative updates, and clarification of procedures and use.

6. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

a. Advantages: Formally documents the approach for reporting rejections of finance using narrative message and enables the use of the coded means for better analysis.

b. Disadvantages: None identified.

7. ESTIMATED TIME LINE/IMPLEMENTATION TARGET: Staggered implementation will be permitted after DLA Transaction Services target implementation to support September 30, 2014 SLOA implementation.

8. ESTIMATED SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHANGE: None identified.

9. IMPACT:

a. DLMS Data Elements/LOGDRMS: Update Reject Advice Codes as described above.

b. Automated Information Systems (AIS): DLMS-compliant Service systems must be able to receive/create the 824R Reject Advice as documented in this ADC. Applicable to supply and finance systems.

c. DLA Transaction Services:

(1) Since reject advice codes are two character codes, DAAS will have to program for MILSINQ to ignore the first character in inserting the reject code in rp 4. The second character of the reject advice codes are the same as the existing single character codes used at DAAS.

(2) Update mapping for DLMS 824R according to changes to the REF and LQ segments as shown in Enclosure 1.

ENCLOSURE 1
Revisions to DLMS 824R

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
1.	Introductory Notes	<p><u>Transition existing Federal Notes 1 & 2 to DLMS Notes, remove the remaining Federal Note, modify existing DLMS Note 2, renumber all existing DLMS Notes and update references within the IC:</u></p> <p><u>Federal Note:</u> 1. Used by the processing activity for functional reject of select transactions. 2. This transaction set is not used to reject a transmission due to ASC X12 syntax errors. Use a 997 transaction set for that purpose. 3. DoD logistics users should refer to the Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) Supplement to the Federal Implementation Convention (IC) available at URL: http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms0. The DLMS Supplement provides specific business rules, conditions, and authorized codes necessary for appropriate use of this IC within the DLMS.</p> <p><u>DLMS Note:</u> <i>1. Used by the processing activity for functional reject of select transactions.</i> <i>2. This transaction set is not used to reject a transmission due to ASC X12 syntax errors. Use a 997 transaction set for that purpose.</i> 3. <i>Used by the processing activity to reject select DLMS transactions which could not be processed due to erroneous or missing data. Used by the processing activity to provide functional reject of transactions to the submitting activity. This transaction supports the functionality of MILSTRAP Document Identifier (DI) Code (DIC) DZG, however rather than perpetuate all information from the rejected transaction as was done under DLSS, only information necessary to identify the rejected transaction and the reject advice information is provided</i> 4. <i>DLMS 824R was initially developed for use for rejection of MILSTRAP related DLMS transactions 527D, 527R, 536L, 650A, 650C, 830R, 830W, 846A, 846D, 846F, 846I, 846L, 846P, 846R, 846S, 867D, 867I, 870L, 888I, 947I, providing specific reject advice codes.</i></p>	<p>Transition Federal Notes to DLMS Notes that are still relevant to DLMS 824R transition from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).</p> <p>Administrative update to reference MILSTRAP related DLMS transactions generically, rather than identify those transactions individually.</p>

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
		<p><i>However the DLMS 824R scope is expanded and it is authorized for use with other DLMS supply, and contract administration and logistics bills transactions not specifically identified, but does not replace supersede procedures for error identification addressed by the DLMS 140A Small Arms and Light Weapons (SA/LW) Reporting, DLMS 870S Supply Status or DLMS 842A/R DoD Supply Discrepancy Report Reply. Also used by DLA Transaction Services for narrative message type rejects for all DLMS transactions subject to rejection by DAAS narrative messages.</i></p> <p><i>(continue renumbering existing DLMS Notes not shown and update references within the IC back to the proper DLMS Notes.)</i></p>	
2.	Introductory Notes	<p><u>Add ADC 1103 to current DLMS Introductory Note 6 (As noted above, this ADC is renumbering this note to DLMS Introductory Note 8):</u></p> <p><i>- ADC 1103, Revise DLMS 824R, Reject Advice, to Include Rejection of Logistics Bills, Clarify use for Rejection of DLMS MILSTRIP Transactions, and Document Administrative Updates</i></p>	Identifies changes included in DLMS IC.
3.	1/ST02/010	<p><u>Transition existing Federal Note to DLMS Note:</u></p> <p>Federal Note: Use to identify a unique number assigned by the originator of the transaction set. This number may be system generated.</p> <p>DLMS Note: Use to identify a unique number assigned by the originator of the transaction set. This number may be system generated.</p>	Transition Federal Notes to DLMS Notes that are still relevant to DLMS 824R transition from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
4.	1/BGN07/020	<p><u>Modify existing DLMS Note for code ZT:</u></p> <p>ZT Report of rejection or Return of Work Candidate</p> <p>DLMS Note: Use to identify a Reject Advice transaction. (MILSTRAP DIC DZG functionality; but also used for expanded DLMS reject capability beyond the scope of legacy MILSTRAP DZG.)</p>	824R Reject Advice is not limited to legacy DZG capability. Elimination of the reference to DZG will eliminate confusion with limited DZG capability. The DZG relationship is addressed in the DLMS introductory notes.

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
5.	1/BGN04/020	<p><u>Open element, mark as used, and add DLMS Note:</u></p> <p>DLMS Note: 1. Express the originating activity's time of transaction set preparation in UTC. 2. Express time in a four (HHMM) or six-position (HHMMSS) format with seconds optional.</p>	Administrative update to document existing usage.
6.	1/BGN05/020	<p><u>Open element, mark as used, and add code "UT":</u></p> <p>UT Universal Time Coordinate</p>	Administrative update to document usage. DAAS had been coded to GM, but when discussing documenting the use of BGN05 agreed to adjust to common DLMS approach of using UT.
7.	1/N1/030	<p><u>Modify existing segment level DLMS Note:</u></p> <p>DLMS Note: Must use 2 iterations of the 1/N1/030 loop; one iteration to identify the activity transmitting the DLMS 824R Reject Advice transaction and a second iteration to identify the activity to whom the DLMS 824R Reject Advice transaction is being sent. For transactions other than the existing authorized DZG functionality, the only currently authorized RIC-From is SGA pending future functionality to support Component system origination.</p>	DZG functionality is currently the only authorized use from Component systems. All other rejections are originating during processing at DLA Transaction Services. Future PDC may propose Component systems origin beyond DZG.
8.	1/N101/030	<p><u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u></p> <p>Federal Note: Use any code.</p>	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
9.	2/OTI01/010	<p><u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u></p> <p>Federal Note: Use any code.</p>	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transition from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
10.	2/OTI02/010	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
11.	2/REF/020	<u>Modify existing segment level DLMS Note 2.</u> DLMS Note: 1. <u>Use to identify secondary reference numbers associated with 2/OTI02/010, and to identify the materiel.</u> 2. <i>When sending reject advice codes, M must use at least one iteration of the REF segment to identify the transaction set of the transaction being rejected, to include the variant code when applicable.</i>	At least one iteration is required to identify the transaction set of the transaction being rejected using a reject advice code for automation.
12.	2/REF01/020	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
13.	2/REF01/020	<u>Modify existing DLMS Note 4 for the data element:</u> 4. <i>Use codes 17, 78, 8X, X7 ACC, and PRT to provide beginning segment information, from the transaction being rejected, when applicable, to assist in uniquely identifying the rejected transaction.</i>	Include a clarification for the use of code 8X, as is provided for the other codes. Add explanations for coding the segment to ensure unique identification of rejections of 517M transactions.
14.	2/REF01/020	<u>Add the following new code and DLMS Note:</u> X7 Batch Sequence Number DLMS Note: Use to identify the batch control number from the beginning segment of the transaction being rejected, when DLMS 517M is being rejected	Ensures unique identification of the particular transaction being rejected when a DLMS 517M containing a batch control number is rejected.

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
15.	2/REF04-01/020	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
16.	2/REF04-03 to -06/020	<u>Close four elements of composite C040:</u> REF04-03 Not Used REF04-04 Not Used REF04-05 Not Used REF04-06 Not Used	No indication that these elements are used for any purpose.
17.	2/DTM01/030	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
18.	2/NM101/065	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
19.	2/NM102/065	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
20.	2/TED01/070	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
21.	2/TED01/070	<u>Revise DLMS note for code 848:</u> 848 Incorrect Data DLMS Note: Use to indicate that specific reject advice is conveyed under 2/LQ01/086. Qualifier ET or 81 .	Administrative update for consistency with removal of code 81 at 2/LQ01/086.
22.	2/LQ01/086	<u>Remove Federal Note for the data element:</u> Federal Note: Use any code.	Removing unnecessary Federal Notes as DLMS 824R transitions from a supplement to an implementation convention (IC).
23.	2/LQ01/086	<u>Remove code 81:</u> 81 Status Code DLMS Note: Use to identify the supply status code 'CJ'. Use only when rejecting DLMS 846L Logistics Asset Support Estimate inquiry submitted for an obsolete/inactive NSN which cannot be supported.	Administrative edit to reflect elimination of DLMS 846L per ADC 400 (Reference 3.d.).
24.	2/LQ01/086	<u>Revise DLMS note 1, add new note 2, and renumber existing notes 2 and 3 for code 0:</u> 0 Document Identification Code DLMS Note: 1. The DLSS DI-Code is retained in the DLMS to facilitate transaction conversion in a mixed DLSS/DLMS environment. Continued support of the DI-Code in a full DLMS environment will be assessed at a future date. 2. The DIC is not to be applicable to every rejection transaction.	Administrative update to change DI Code to DIC and add clarification of usage.

#	Location	DLMS 824R Reject Advice	Reason
		<p>23. May use 2 iterations of LQ01 code 0 when the rejected transaction contained an LQ01 code 0. Use one iteration with LQ02 code value DZG, when applicable, to represent DLMS 824R equated to MILSTRAP DIC DZG in a mixed DLSS/DLMS environment. Use another iteration of LQ01 code 0 with the code value from the transaction being rejected when applicable.</p> <p>34. Future Streamlined data; See introductory DLMS note 4c.</p>	
25.	2/SE02/090	<p>Transition existing Federal Note to DLMS Note:</p> <p>Federal Note: Use to identify a unique number assigned by the originator of the transaction set. This number may be system generated.</p> <p>DLMS Note: Use to identify a unique number assigned by the originator of the transaction set. This number may be system generated.</p>	Transition Federal Notes to DLMS Notes that are still relevant to DLMS 824R transition from a supplement to an IC.

ENCLOSURE 2
Coordination Comment Disposition

#	Component/ Agency	Response/Comment	Disposition
1	Air Force	AF concurs with comments: (1) Document finance as within the scope of the 824R and the existing narrative messages. (2) Establish Reject Advice Codes BV, BW, BX, BY, and BV to accommodate current reasons for rejection of the DLMS 810L, Logistics Bill. The second 'BV' should be a 'BZ'.	Noted. Provided in DLMS 824R introductory notes. Typo corrected above.
2	Army	Concur.	Noted.
3	Marine Corps	Concur.	Noted.
4	AFAFO	Concur.	Noted.

#	Component/ Agency	Response/Comment	Disposition
5	DLA	<p>Concur with comment.</p> <p>DLA would like the DLMS 824R transaction authorized for a new advice code that would allow DSS to reject A_, B_ or C_ violation reason codes back to the ICP/Customer.</p> <p>The most common violation reason codes are:</p> <p>VRC Definition</p> <p>A4 / SHIPMENT TO ICP WILL NOT BE MADE</p> <p>AD / NO VALID OWNER FOR TRANSFER-SHIP TO SELF</p> <p>AE / ADVICE CODE INVALID</p> <p>AF / CONDITION CODE INVALID OR BLANK</p> <p>AM / UNIT PRICE INVALID</p> <p>AN / UNIT OF ISSUE INVALID</p> <p>AS / QUANTITY INVALID OR BLANK</p> <p>AT / FUND CODE INVALID</p> <p>AU / SIGNAL CODE INVALID OR BLANK</p> <p>BA / MEDIA STATUS CODE INVALID</p> <p>BJ / SUPPLEMENTARY ADDRESS INVALID</p> <p>BZ / INVENTORY CONTROL DATA INVALID</p> <p>C7 / SUPPL-ADD REQUIRED WITH SIG-CD</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>DLMSO supports the ability for end applications to utilize the 824R for sending functional rejects back to the original sender. In preparing to staff this change, DLMSO discussed the capability with DLA Transaction Services, which made clear that an implementation needs more thought. Since the immediate subject of the change did not rely on such a capability, it was agreed to defer application generated 824Rs beyond existing MILSTRAP for a future PDC. DLA's requested additional reject capability will be forwarded for consideration as part of the future PDC.</p>

#	Component/ Agency	Response/Comment	Disposition
6	DLA Transaction Services	<p>Concur with comment:</p> <p>Pg 4, Attachment to PDC 1103, para C4.2.2.2 - Any X12 type errors that would be encountered by DLA Transaction Services trading partner should be handled as part of 997 process. Functional data errors should be returned to the originator to be corrected. Having said that, it is still not clear how such a process could be implemented without a manual processing requirement at DLA Transaction Services which would not be practical. Any enhancement regarding this type of process would need to be extensively studied before moving forward.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The subject 824R errors are for data content errors caught during edits at DLA Transaction Services, rather than syntax errors handled by 997. Per discussions in drafting this DLMS change it is understood that the current process of Volume 1, C4.2.2.2. is NOT implemented and would be significantly difficult to develop as written. Therefore, the language is being converted to , at best, allow for the capability to be considered for a future DLMS enhancement.</p>
7		<p>Pg 4, Attachment to PDC 1103, para C4.2.3 - not aware of any implementation of this process, other than DZG</p>	<p>It is correct, to our knowledge, that the only implemented use of the 824R by supply applications is for DZG functionality. That doesn't negate that DLMS intends to allow expanded use for supply and finance situations as well where appropriate.</p>
8		<p>Pg 3 , Enclosure 1 to PDC 1103 - 7. 1/N1/030 - 824R/DZG would contain two iterations of the N1 loop but neither would be SGA and DLA Transaction Services does not use any N1 segments when returning DAAS Narrative rejects to customers. SGA is not used at all here that I am aware</p>	<p>The N1 was included to ensure clarity as to the originator of the transaction If DLA Transaction Services isn't currently using the N1/From in their 824R they are not following one of the basic tenants of the DLMS which is to identify the transaction originator in the transaction (not just enveloping). DLA Transaction Services should consider this one of the updates to the process for their implementation.</p> <p>However, the DLMS note has been modified to recognize that for MILSTRAP DIC DZG functionality, the originator will be rejecting application as opposed to DLA Transaction Services.</p>
7		<p>Pg 4, Enclosure 1 to PDC 1103 - 11. 2/REF01 /020 DLA Transaction Services does not include any REF segment when returning DAAS Narrative rejects to customers.</p>	<p>The REF is important for automation at the recipient of an 824R. Modifying the second DLMS note of the 2/REF/20 segment above to require at least one iteration of the REF to identify the rejected transaction when including a reject advice code.</p>