



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY  
HEADQUARTERS  
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD  
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

April 17, 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEMBERS

SUBJECT: Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) 1105,  
Revise DLMS 830D Demand Data Exchange (DDE) Projected Supply Plan  
Requirements to Clarify Data and Associated Procedures (Supply)

The attached change to DLM 4000.25, Defense Logistics Management System, is approved for implementation. This change impacts Army and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), and is approved for staggered implementation. Army can implement this change in June 2014; DLA can implement immediately. The updated DLMS Implementation Convention will be posted to the Defense Logistics Management Standards Office Website [www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmsso/elibrary/TransFormats/formats.asp](http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmsso/elibrary/TransFormats/formats.asp) within **10** days from the above date.

Supply PRC members may direct questions to Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, (703) 767-0677; DSN 427-0677 or email: [Mary.Jane.Johnson@dla.mil](mailto:Mary.Jane.Johnson@dla.mil). Others must contact their Service or Agency designated Supply PRC representative available at [www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmsso/CertAccess/SvcPointsPOC/allpoc.asp](http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmsso/CertAccess/SvcPointsPOC/allpoc.asp).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donald C. Pipp", is positioned above the printed name.

DONALD C. PIPP  
Director  
Defense Logistics Management  
Standards Office

Attachment  
As stated

cc: ODASD(SCI)

**ATTACHMENT TO ADC 1105**  
**Revise DLMS 830D Demand Data Exchange (DDE) Projected Supply Plan**  
**Requirements to Clarify Data and Associated Procedures (Supply)**

**1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION:**

**a. Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO)**, Mary Jane Johnson, DOD MILSTRAP Administrator, 703-767-0677, DN 427, email: mary.jane.johnson@dla.mil

**b. DLA:**

(1) **Technical POC**: HQ DLA J343, 804-357-8727

(2) **Functional POC**: HQ DLA J343, 703-767-0138

**2. FUNCTIONAL AREA: Primary Functional Area**: Supply, DDE Projected Supply Plan

**3. REFERENCE**: DLM 4000.25, Defense Logistics Management System, Volume 2, linked at [www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/eLibrary/manuals/dlm/dlm\\_pubs.asp](http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/eLibrary/manuals/dlm/dlm_pubs.asp)

**4. APPROVED CHANGE(S)**: (Significant changes from Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1105 are highlighted in yellow throughout ADC)

**a. Brief Overview of Change**: Revise Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) 830D implementation convention (IC) to clarify use of organization DOD activity address codes (DoDAAC), the FST segment forecast timing qualifiers, and the SDQ segment. DLA is also revising the procedures to recommend that DDE projected supply plans have 60 month plan periods, with zero filling those months with no plan data.

**b. Background**: During Army implementation of DLMS 830D with DLA, it was determined that the explanation for the DoDAAC fields and the FST segment, FST03 forecast timing qualifiers were subject to interpretation and additional clarification was needed.

**c. Describe Approved Change to DLMS 830D in Detail**:

(1) **DLA J3 revisions**:

(a) revised DLMS 830D to more clearly identify which organization DoDAACs are used in the transaction, to include that the requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC is the DoDAAC of the requisitioning (customer) organization. The requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC is found in the first six positions of a requisition document number. The requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC could be the same as the Ship-to DoDAAC.

(b) revised DLM 4000.25 procedures to recommend that DDE projected supply plans have 60 month plan periods, with zero filling those months with no plan.

(2) **DLMSO revisions:**

(a) revised DLMS 830D Loop ID FST, to clarify the requirements associated with providing the projected supply plan quantities. Data must be provided for every data bucket (e.g., monthly buckets) within the projected supply plan, including those buckets with zero quantity forecast/projection. This is necessary under the existing DLMS 830D requirements, where the FST04 date is the same as the beginning segment BFR06 date (i.e., the projected supply plan period start date). In the absence of providing dates in chronological sequence with zero quantity projections included, there is no way to determine the start dates for the individual quantity buckets provided by the FST Loop.

(b) revised DLMS 830D SDQ segment to provide specific guidance to satisfy mandatory X12 syntax requirements for clarification and consistency with other DLMS ICs, and to reaffirm that unit of issue is a valid requirement not being streamlined.

d. **Revisions to DLM 4000.25 Manuals:**

(1) **Revise DLM 4000.25, Volume 2, Chapter 2, paragraph C2.3.2.2. as follows:**

C2.3.2.2. DDE Projected Supply Plan. DDE supply planning activities ~~shall~~ **shall** prepare the DDE projected supply plan for each item=Demand Forecast Unit (e.g., NIIN, Ship to DoD activity address code (DoDAAC), and **requisitioner (Sold To) DoDAAC**) for which the customer wishes to collaborate with DLA. Each transaction set must include the projected supply plan period beginning date and the projected supply plan period ending date. The projected supply plan period span can be as ~~little~~ **short** as 12 months or as ~~much~~ **long** as 60 months; **however, DLA recommends that DDE projected supply plans provide for 60 months in the plan period, zero filling those months with no plan data.**

(2) **Revise DLMS 830D IC as shown at the enclosure.**

e. **Alternatives:** None identified.

**5. REASON FOR CHANGE:** During a recent Army DLMS 830D implementation effort with DLA, the DLMS 830D organization DoDAACs and the FST03 forecast timing qualifiers were subject to interpretation. This change clarifies those requirements for all DOD Components using DLMS 830D DDE Projected Supply Plan. **This change also further emphasizes the existing requirement for the FST04 date and clarifies the SDQ segment, as was documented in PDC 1105 at the DLMS 830D Change Table (shown at the enclosure).**

**6. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:**

a. **Advantages:** Clarifies intent of transaction data.

b. **Disadvantages:** None identified.

**7. ASSUMPTIONS USED OR WILL BE USED IN THE CHANGE OR NEW DEVELOPMENT:** DLMS implementation of the DDE Projected Supply Plan requires implementation of the DLMS 830D IC.

**8. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION TARGET:** This change is effective immediately for Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps, which have not yet implemented DLMS 830D. In response to PDC 1105, DOD Components that have implemented DLMS 830D were to provide impact of this change, and projected implementation date, in accordance with Impact paragraph 10.c. This change is approved for staggered implementation for DLA and Army as follows:

a. **DLA:** DLA and DLA Transaction Services have advised that they can implement this change immediately.

b. **Army:** The next Army Supply Plan (ASP) submission will include these changes; Army anticipates this to be during the June 2014 timeframe.

**9. ESTIMATED SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHANGE:** None identified.

**10. IMPACT:**

a. **New DLMS Data Elements:** None

b. **Changes to DLMS Data Elements:** Revises DLMS notes in the 830D IC to clarify organization DoDAACs, the intent of the forecast timing qualifiers, and use of the SDQ segment.

c. **Automated Information Systems (AIS):**

(1) **Army and DLA:** May require revision to Army and DLA AIS to implement DoDAACs and FST03 Forecast qualifiers as clarified. Refer to paragraph 8 for implementation date.

(2) **Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps:** No impact. In response to PDC 1105, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps noted they had not yet implemented DLMS 830D.

d. **DLA Transaction Services:** Minor mapping changes required.

e. **Non-DLM 4000.25 Series Publications:** None identified

**11. PDC 1105 Response Table:**

Component	Response to PDC 1105	Disposition
Army	<p>Army concurs with Comment:</p> <p>RE: "In many cases the submitter, requisitioner (Sold-to), and Ship-to DoDAAC will be the same in the transaction".</p> <p>Comment: For Army, submitter would never be the same as the other two. Should read as: "In many cases the requisitioner (Sold-to), and Ship-to DoDAAC will be the same in the transaction."</p>	<p>Concur. Change made as requested. See the enclosure, 830D Change Table, row 6.</p> <p>DLA also concurred with this change by DLA email 3/14/2014.</p>

<b>Component</b>	<b>Response to PDC 1105</b>	<b>Disposition</b>
Air Force	Concur. AFMC satisfies this requirement with a DDE flat file, however, we are not in DLMS compliance	Noted.
Marine Corps	Marine Corps concurs with PDC as written. Marine Corps has not implemented DLMS 830D. Revisions have no impact on Marine Corps plans for future implementation of DLMS 830D, DDE Projected Supply plan.	Noted.
Navy	Navy concurs with PDC as written. Navy has not implemented DLMS 830D/DDE.	Noted.
DLA	Concur without comment.	Noted.
USTRANSCOM	Abstains	Noted.

## ENCLOSURE TO ADC 1105

#	Location	DLMS 830D Change Table (Change identified by <b>bold red text</b> or <del>double strikethrough</del> )	Reason
1	DLMS Introductory notes	<p><u>Revise DLMS introductory note 2:</u>                  2. The Demand Data Exchange Projected Supply Plan transaction is a DLMS enhancement. There is no corresponding DLSS transaction; however this transaction encompasses functionality of non-DLSS DLA <b>legacy</b> Document Identifier Code (DIC) CDD. See introductory DLMS note 3a. <del>DLMS IC 830D replaces IC 830R, version 4030 only, combined SPR/DDE, in its entirety.</del></p>	Administrative updates to identify DIC CDD is a DLA <u>legacy</u> format and to delete reference to IC which was eliminated over 5 years ago.
2	DLMS Introductory notes	<p><u>Revise DLMS introductory note 4 to add change:</u>  <b>- ADC 1105, Revise DLMS 830D Demand Data Exchange (DDE) Projected Supply Plan Requirements to Clarify Data and Associated Procedures</b></p>	To show changes for the IC
3	2/LIN01/0100	<p><u>Revise DLMS Note for 'C':</u>  <b>DLMS Note:</b> C - Cancellation of previously submitted DDE Projected Supply Plan transaction. Use indicates cancellation of the entire open DDE transaction for the NIIN cited in LIN02/03. This equates to DLA's <del>Document Identifier Code</del> <b>legacy DIC</b> CDD format with an 'R' in rp 952.</p>	Administrative update.
4	2/REF/1400  2/REF01/1400	<p><u>Revise segment level DLMS Note:</u>                  Must use to identify the transaction <b>document</b> number associated with the DDE Projected Supply Plan.</p> <p><u>Revise DLMS Note for qualifier TN:</u>  <b>TN Transaction Number</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b> Use to identify the transaction <del>Number (also known as the document number).</del></p>	Administrative update for consistency with wording in other DLMS ICs.
5	2/N101/3200	<p><u>Revise DLMS note for code LW:</u>  <b>LW Customer</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b>                  Use to identify the <del>customer</del> <b>requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC</b> for DDE Projected Supply Plan transactions. <b>This is the DoDAAC of the requisitioning (customer) organization. The requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC is found in the first six positions of a requisition document number. In many cases the requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC and the Ship-to DoDAAC will be the same in the transaction.</b></p>	To clarify requirement.
6	2/N101/3200	<p><u>Revise DLMS note for code ST:</u>  <b>ST Ship To</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b>  <b>Must use to identify the Ship-to DoDAAC. <del>when different from the customer.</del> In many cases the <del>submitter,</del> requisitioner (Sold-to) DoDAAC and Ship-to DoDAAC will be the same in the transaction.</b></p>	Removing the restriction which only allowed entry of the Ship-to DoDAAC when different from the N101 qualifier LW DoDAAC and assumed no entry meant the Ship-to was the same as the requisitioner DoDAAC. Provides clarity. <b>Revised to delete submitter from note at left, per Army comment that Army submitter DoDAAC would never be the same as requisitioner DoDAAC or Ship-to DoDAAC.</b>

#	Location	DLMS 830D Change Table (Change identified by <i>bold red text</i> or <del>double strikethrough</del> )	Reason
7	2/FST03/ 4100	<p>Revise DLMS notes for codes A, M, Q, and S as follows:</p> <p><b>A Annually (Calendar Year)</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b> Use to submit up to <i>5 years</i> (5 annual periods) of projected supply plan data- <i>(e.g., for a 5 year projection, 5 annual periods will be submitted, to include zero entries for plan years with no data; for a 4 year projection, 4 annual periods will be submitted, etc.). The beginning segment defines the projected supply plan period start and end dates (BFR06 and BFR07 respectively). The annual buckets must be provided in chronological sequence in the transaction and zero quantity projections must be included.</i> Use of “Annually” is a DLMS enhancement. See introductory DLMS note 3a.</p> <p><b>M Monthly</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b>  1. Use to submit up to <i>5 years</i> (60 months) of projected supply plan data- <i>(e.g., for a 5 year projection, 60 monthly buckets will be submitted, to include zero entries for plan months with no data; for a 3 year projection, 36 monthly buckets will be submitted, to include zero entries, etc.). The beginning segment defines the projected supply plan period start and end dates (BFR06 and BFR07 respectively). The monthly buckets must be provided in chronological sequence in the transaction and zero quantity projections must be included.</i></p> <p><i>2. While DDE projected supply plans can be as short as 12 months, DLA recommends that DDE projected supply plans have 60 month plan periods, zero filling months with no plan.</i></p> <p><b>Q Quarterly (Calendar Quarters)</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b> Use to submit up to <i>5 years</i> (20 quarters) of projected supply plan data- <i>(e.g., for a 5 year projection, 20 quarters will be submitted, to include zero entries for plan quarters with no data; for a 4 year projection, 16 quarters will be submitted, etc.). The beginning segment defines the projected supply plan period start and end dates (BFR06 and BFR07 respectively). The quarterly buckets must be provided in chronological sequence in the transaction and zero quantity projections must be included.</i> Use of “Quarterly” is a DLMS enhancement. See introductory DLMS note 3a.</p> <p><b>S Semi-Annually (Calendar Year)</b>  <b>DLMS Note:</b> Use to submit up to <i>5 years</i> (10 semi-annual periods) of projected supply plan data- <i>(e.g., for a 5 year projection, 10 semi-annual periods will be submitted, to include zero entries for semi-annual plan periods with no plan data; for a 3 year projection, 6 semi-annual periods will be submitted, etc.). The beginning segment defines the projected supply plan period start and end dates (BFR06 and BFR07 respectively). The semi-annual buckets must be provided in chronological sequence in the transaction and zero quantity projections must be included.</i> Use of “Semi-Annually” is a DLMS enhancement. See introductory DLMS note 3a.</p>	<p>To clarify current requirement.  Data must be provided in chronological sequence for every data bucket within the projected supply plan, including those buckets with zero quantity forecast/projection.</p> <p>Under the DLMS 830D current requirements, FST04 is the same as the beginning segment BFR06 date, which is the projected supply plan period start date. In the absence of providing monthly buckets in chronological sequence, with zero quantity projections included, there is no way to determine the start dates for the individual quantity buckets provided by FST Loop.</p>

#	Location	DLMS 830D Change Table (Change identified by <i>bold red text</i> or <del>double strikethrough</del> )	Reason
8	2/FST04/ 4100	<p><u>Revise DLMS note 2:</u></p> <p><b>DLMS Note:</b></p> <p>1. Must use to identify the start date for which material support should be available for the NIIN cited in LIN02/03 for the bucket (weekly, monthly, etc) identified in FST03. This date corresponds to the 1/BFR06/0200 start date.</p> <p>2. Use for other than a date that corresponds to the BFR06 date is a DLMS enhancement <i>and therefore requires a proposed DLMS change (PDC) before use</i>. See introductory DLMS note 3a, <i>which requires that Components must coordinate requirements and business rules for DLMS enhancements with the Defense Logistics Management Standards Office prior to use</i>.</p>	Further emphasis of the stated need for Components to coordinate proposed business rules with DLMSO if they are interested in using FST04 for other than mirroring the BFR06 date, as currently required by the IC.
9	2/SDQ01/ 4200	<p><u>Add new DLMS note 1, renumber current DLMS note 1 as note 2, and delete current DLMS note 2:</u></p> <p><b>Unit or Basis for Measurement Code</b></p> <p><b>DLMS Note:</b></p> <p><i>1. Use to identify the unit of issue for the materiel.</i></p> <p><del>2.</del> <b>2.</b> DLMS users see the Unit of Issue and Purchase Unit Conversion Table for applicable codes.</p> <p><del>2. Future Streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 3c.</del></p>	The DOD MILSTRAP Administrator is not aware of any plans to streamline the unit of measure out of the DLMS 830D, and believes the streamline note was included in error.
10	2/SDQ03/ 4200	<p><u>Revise DLMS Note:</u></p> <p><b>Identification Code</b></p> <p><b>Description:</b> Code identifying a party or other code</p> <p><b>DLMS Note:</b> Use <del>any acceptable value</del> <i>"ZZ" for this data element</i> to satisfy mandatory X12 syntax requirements.</p>	Providing specific guidance to satisfy mandatory X12 syntax requirements for clarification and consistency with other DLMS ICs.
11	2/SDQ04/ 4200	<p><u>Revise DLMS Note:</u></p> <p><b>Quantity</b></p> <p><b>Description:</b> Numeric value of quantity</p> <p><b>DLMS Note:</b> Use <del>any acceptable value</del> <i>"0" (zero) for this data element</i> to satisfy mandatory X12 syntax requirements.</p>	Providing specific guidance to satisfy mandatory X12 syntax requirements for clarification and consistency with other DLMS ICs.