



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

March 25, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEMBERS

**SUBJECT: Approved Standards Change (ADC) 1375, Revision to Reject Advice Code
Definition in Support of Warehouse Management System (WMS) (Supply)**

The attached change to DoD Enterprise Business Standards, as outlined in the attachment, is approved for implementation.

Addressees may direct questions to Mr. Rafael Gonzalez, Administrator e-mail: EBSO.Supply@dla.mil. Others must contact their designated PRC representative available at <http://www.dla.mil/HQ/InformationOperations/DLMS/allpoc/>.

THOMAS A. DELANEY
Director, Enterprise Business Standards Office

Attachment
As stated

cc:
ODASD(Logistics)

ADC 1375

Revision to Reject Advice Code Definition in Support of WMS

1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION:

a. **Technical POC:** Erin Fowles, DLA Information Operations J6 Project Management, e-mail: Erin.Fowles@dla.mil.

b. **Functional POC:** Joshua Braxton, DLA Distribution HQ J4 Receiving, e-mail: Joshua.Braxton@dla.mil.

2. REFERENCES:

a. DLM, Volume 2, Supply Standards and Procedures, Appendix 2.8, Reject Advice Codes

b. DLM, Volume 1, Concepts and Procedures, Chapter 4, Functional Application Errors

3. APPROVED CHANGE:

a. **Brief Overview of Change:** This change revises the definition of Reject Advice Code “AI” to include call/order number, contract line-item number (CLIN), and/or sub-contract line-item number (sub-CLIN).

b. **Background:** DLA is in the process of migrating to a Systems Applications & Products (SAP)-based commercial out-of-the-box software to replace the Distribution Standard System (DSS). For the migration process, DLA wants to automate some of the existing manual transaction and further validate procedures and practices. This change will enhance automation of due-in violations processes, specifically, automatic rejection of DLMS 527D Due-in (legacy document identifier code DU), when data is missing or invalid, using the DLMS 824R Reject Advice transaction and the appropriate reject advice code.

c. **Approved Change in Detail:** Revise the definition of the Reject Advice Code “AI” as shown in paragraph 4.d. DoD Components and DAAS may use Reject Code “AI” when a given DLMS 527D Prepositioned Materiel Receipt (PMR) contains an invalid PIIN/PIID, call/order number, CLIN, and/or sub CLIN (References 2.a. and 2.b.). The intent is to clarify the current definition and not to change its current use and/or business rules. When a DoD Component receives a DLMS 824 Reject Advice transaction with Reject Advice Code “AI,” the expectation is to perform corrective actions and re-transmit.

d. Revisions to Defense Logistics Manuals: Revise DLM 4000.25, Volume 2, Appendix 2.8 Reject Advice Codes as follows:

AI Rejected. Procurement instrument identifier (PIID) (contract number), **call/order number, contract line-item number (CLIN), and/or sub-CLIN** is invalid or blank.

e. Approved Transaction Flow: No known changes to transaction flows.

f. Alternatives: N/A

4. REASON FOR CHANGE: This revision eliminates the need to implement several reject codes to address all the components of the PIID when the original intent was to consolidate all into one.

5. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

a. Advantages: Standardizes procedures for communicating PMR violations back to the source system.

b. Disadvantages: None

6. ASSUMPTIONS USED OR WILL BE USED IN THE CHANGE OR NEW DEVELOPMENT: ICP systems will be able to receive and understand the DLMS 824R Reject Advice transaction (legacy DIC DZG).

7. ESTIMATED TIMELINE/IMPLEMENTATION TARGET: There are no system changes expected. The updated definition will be available upon approval.

8. ESTIMATED SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHANGE: N/A

9. IMPACT:

a. New/Changed Data Elements: None.

b. Automated Information Systems (AIS): None.

c. Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS): No impact

d. Non-DLM Publications: DoD Components must review and update any internal guidance, as appropriate, to comply with procedures delineated in this DLMS change.

10. PDC 1375 RESPONSE/COMMENT RESOLUTION:

| | Component | Response/Comment | Disposition |
|----|------------------|--|---|
| 1. | DAAS | None. | None. |
| 2. | DLA | <p>Concurs with comment.</p> <p>Comment: Functional POC (Joshua Braxton) for your consideration.</p> <p>New Procurement arrives at the DLA Distribution Centers with a numeral value in the 9th position of the contract. We have received several new procurement receipts in the OT format. Employees are using workarounds such as reformatting the contract number or use a document number to process the receipts. See the attachment "Other Transaction" pdf, page 23 has the following: "Federal Procurement Reporting The Government team must continue to record Research OTs in the Defense Assistance Awards Data System (DAADS). The Government team must continue to report Prototype OTs in the Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation located at https://www.fpds.gov. Research OTs must identify the 9th position of the award number as a "3", and Prototype OTs must identify the 9th position of the award number as a "9". The other positions of the award number and modifications will be assigned the same as procurement contracts."</p> | <p>Noted.</p> <p>Response: This is outside of the scope of this change. This is a known system limitation in DLA DSS.</p> |
| 3. | ODASD(Logistics) | Concurs as written. | As noted. |
| 4. | US Air Force | Concurs as written. | As noted. |
| 5. | US Army | Concurs as written. | As noted. |
| 6. | USMC | Concurs as written. | As noted. |
| 7. | US Navy | Concurs as written. | As noted. |