IN REPLY
REFER TO J627

April 11, 2012

IMPLEMENTED

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEMBERS

SUBJECT: Approved Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) Change (ADC) 400, Elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process no Longer Required by the DOD Components (DLMS/MILSTRAP/ MILSTRIP) (Staffed by PDC 400 as a follow on to PDC 301))

The attached change to DOD 4000.25-M, DLMS, DOD 4000.25-2-M, Military Standard Transaction Reporting and Accounting Procedures (MILSTRAP), and DOD 4000.25-1-M, Military Standard Transaction Requisitioning and Issue Procedures (MILSTRIP), documents the elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate process. This change is approved for immediate implementation and will be published in the MILSTRAP, MILSTRIP, and DLMS manuals when they are reissued as Defense Logistics Manuals in June 2012.

Supply PRC representatives may direct questions to Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, email: Mary.Jane.Johnson@dla.mil. Others must contact their Component designated Supply PRC representative.

DONALD C. PIPP
Director
DLA Logistics Management Standards

Attachment
As stated

cc:
ODASD (SCI)

ATTACHMENT TO ADC 400

Elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process no Longer Required by the DOD Components (DLMS/MILSTRAP/MILSTRIP)
(staffed by PDC 400 as a follow on to PDC 301A))

1. ORIGINATOR:

a. **Service/Agency:** Army, HQAMC; DLA J336

b. **Originators:**

    (1) HQAMC (Commercial 703-806-8311), Supply Chain Execution Business Team Lead (Commercial 256-876-1724), Supply Management Business Area Lead (Commercial 256-313-4250)

    (2) DLA, DLA-J336, DSN 427-4337, COMM 703-767-4337

    (3) **Sponsored by:** DOD MILSTRAP Administrator, DLA Logistics Management Standards, email: Mary.Jane.Johnson@dla.mil

2. FUNCTIONAL AREA: Supply

3. REFERENCES:

a.   DLMSO memorandum, May 29, 2008, subject: Proposed DLM Change (PDC) 301, Alternative to LASE Process for DLA Managed Assets Using DOD EMALL Supportability Analysis Stock Out Report Tool (Supply/MILSTRAP)

b. DLMSO memorandum, March 25, 2010, subject: PDC 400, Exclude Army from using the Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process

c. DLMSO memorandum, November 25, 2009, DLMS Supply Process Review Committee Meeting 07-02, October 9-11, 2007, available at www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmso/Archives/archives_sprc.asp

d. DLMSO memorandum, November 6, 2007, DLMS Supply Process Review Committee Meeting 09-01, October 28-29, 2009, available at www.dla.mil/j-6/dlmso/Archives/archives_sprc.asp

e. DOD Instruction (DODI) 4140.01, December 14, 2011, DoD Supply Chain Materiel Management Policy, available at www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/414001p.pdf

f.   DLMSO memorandum, August 5, 2009, subject: Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 333, subject: Revision to DLMS, Volume 2, Chapter for logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE); and Administrative Updates to DLMS 846L, 870L, LASE Request Codes and Reject Advice Codes

4. REQUESTED CHANGE:

    a. Brief Overview of Change: This change eliminates the DLMS-MILSTRAP LASE process as recommended by the DOD Components after staffing two separate PDCs from DLA and Army (DLA PDC 301 (reference 3.a.) and Army PDC 400 (reference 3.b.). The PDCs documented that DLA and Army were not implementing LASE in their respective enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems: DLA Enterprise Business System (EBS) and Army Logistics Modernization Program (LMP). The remaining DOD Components agreed to DLA and Army's elimination of the LASE process. In response to the PDCs, Navy and Air Force stated they would not implement LASE in their ERPs, and Marine Corps also concurred with the elimination of LASE.

    b. LASE Background: LASE was the MILSTRAP/DLMS procedure for the DOD Components to obtain general estimates of the type of supply support that may be anticipated for a weapons system.   The Components could use LASE transaction to inquire to Integrated Materiel Managers (IMMs) about specific NSNs, and the automated replies provided general estimates of the type of supply support that could be anticipated.

    c. Description of Change: This change eliminates the MILSTRAP/DLMS LASE process (procedures, transaction, and LASE specific codes). This change updates the DOD 4000.25-M (DLMS), DOD 4000.25-1-M (MILSTRIP), and DOD 4000.25-2-M (MILSTRAP), manuals to eliminate the LASE procedures and associated transactions/codes. LASE is being eliminated based on the DOD Component recommendations provided in response to PDC 400.

5. REASON FOR CHANGE:

    a. DLA documented by PDC 301 that they did not implement LASE in the DLA Enterprise Business System (EBS). DLA offered the Services the alternative of using the DOD EMALL Supportability Analysis Stock Out Report Tool to obtain information on DLA managed assets. All Components concurred with PDC 301; however DLA Logistics Management Standards office held the ADC in abeyance due to Army's indication that they also no longer saw a need for LASE. Prior to documentation in PDC 301, the DLA alternative to LASE was discussed at Supply PRC Meeting 07-02, October 9-11, 2007 (reference 3.c.)

    b. At the Supply PRC Meeting 09-01 (reference 3.d.) Army addressed that they did not plan to provide for LASE under Army's modernized system, LMP. Army would not provide the ability for customers to submit LASE transactions to Army; Army would not generate LASE transactions to other Components; nor would Army use LASE on an intra-Army basis. The MILSTRAP Administrator noted that since LASE is a DOD requirement documented in MILSTRAP/DLMS, Army could not unilaterally stop supporting a DOD requirement. She further noted that if Army's modernized system would not support LASE, Army needed to submit a PDC to request elimination of the DOD process or provide an Army alternative process. In response Army submitted PDC 400.

    c. By PDC 400 the Army documented that they had not used the LASE requirement since 2007. Army advised they would not pursue funding to support an ERP LASE requirement in LMP since LASE had been inactive and no Services had expressed concern over the absence of Army participation in LASE. Army was not offering an alternative to LASE, but instead requested its

use with Army be discontinued. As part of staffing PDC 400, DLA logistics Management Standards requested that if the Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard concurred with elimination of LASE for Army, as part of their response to the PDC they also:

 (1) Provide a position on whether they would continue LASE process among Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps and Coast Guard, since they would be the only remaining stakeholders in the process.

 (2) Provide a position on whether they recommended elimination of the LASE process **As noted in the PDC 400 Response Table Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps recommended elimination of the LASE process.**

6. REVISIONS TO DOD 4000.25 SERIES OF MANUALS will be documented in the MILSTRAP, MILSTRIP and DLMS manuals as follows. Note that the DOD 4000.25 series of manuals will be reissued as Defense Logistics Manuals in June 2012, as required by DODI 4140.01 (reference 3.e.):

 a. <u>**DOD 4000.25-M, DLMS**</u>**:**

 (1) <u>DLMS, Volume 2, Chapter 24, LASE</u>. Revise Volume 2, as revised by ADC 333 (reference 3.f.), to delete Chapter 24, LASE; renumber the remaining chapters; and update the Table of Contents as needed.

 (2) <u>DLMS Acronyms</u>. Revise to delete LASE.

 (3) <u>DLMS Definitions and Terms</u>. Revise to delete LASE.

 (4) <u>DLMS Data Dictionary.</u> Revise as follows:

  • Note that DLMS 846L LASE transaction and MILSTRAP Document Identifier Codes (DICs) DTA, DTB, DTC, and DTD have been cancelled by ADC 400;

  • DLMS 870L is renamed to remove LASE from the title. The DLMS 870L name is changed from "Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status; or SPR/Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Notification" to "Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status or Notification".

  • Update DLMS/DLSS cross references as needed.

  • Delete the LASE Request Codes, Data Element ID 1270 Qualifier EU-Request Code.

  • Revise the MILSTRIP Status Codes, Data Element 1270 Qualifier 81, code value CJ, as noted in subparagraph 6.b. below.

  • Revise MILSTRAP Reject Advice Codes, Data Element ID 1270 Qualifier ET-Reject Advice Code, as noted in subparagraph 6.c.(5) below.

 (5) Cancel DLMS 846L, LASE transaction and remove from the DLMS website.

(6) Revise DLMS 870L, as shown in Enclosure 2.

b. DOD 4000.25-1-M, MILSTRIP. Revise MILSTRIP Appendix AP 2.16, Status Codes (and corresponding DLMS Data Dictionary 1270 qualifier 81), Status Code CJ, to delete explanation entry (4) as follows (changes are identified by ***bold, red italic*** ~~***strikethroughs***~~):

MILSTRIP STATUS CODE	EXPLANATION
CJ	Rejected. (1) Item coded (or being coded) "obsolete" or "inactivated." Item in stock number field, if different from item requisitioned, can be furnished as a substitute. Unit price of the substitute item is in rp 74-80. (2) If offered substitute is desired, submit a new requisition[1] with substitute item stock number. If only original item is desired, submit a new requisition[2] for procurement on DD Form 1348-6. SF 344 may be submitted by authorized activities. (See appendix A.) Cite Advice Code 2B. (3) Furnish technical data; for example, end item usage, component, make, model, series, serial number, drawing piece and/or part number, manual reference, or applicable publication. *~~(4) Rejected. DoD MILSTRAP DTA Asset Support Request submitted for obsolete/inactive NSN, which cannot be supported. (Applies to MILSTRAP DZG transaction rejects only.)~~*

c. DOD 4000.25-2-M, MILSTRAP:

(1) MILSTRAP Chapter 14. Delete Chapter 14, LASE; renumber Chapter 15 as Chapter 14; update the Table of Contents as needed;

(2) MILSTRAP Chapter 9. Revise Chapter 9, Rejection, Routing, and Reversal of Transactions, Section C9.3. Routing of Transactions, as shown in Enclosure 1.

(3) MILSTRAP Acronyms. Revise to delete LASE.

(4) MILSTRAP Definitions and Terms. Revise to delete LASE.

(5) MILSTRAP Appendix AP 2.8, Reject Advice Codes (and corresponding DLMS Data Dictionary 1270 qualifier ET). Revise as follows (changes are identified by ***bold, red italics and*** ~~***strikethroughs***~~):

CODE	EXPLANATION
AT	***Reserved for DoD assignment.*** *~~Rejected. Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) request code invalid or blank.~~*
AU	***Reserved for DoD assignment.*** *~~Rejected. LASE request is for an item not centrally managed and stocked (Acquisition Advice Codes F, L, P, or W).~~*

CODE	EXPLANATION
AV	Rejected. ~~Activity identified in record positions 30-35 (DoDAAC) and/or 27-29 (RI code (From)) is not authorized to submit LASE transactions.~~ Activity identified in Location Reconciliation Request record positions 67-69 (***RIC*** (From)) not valid.
AX	Rejected. GSA is SOS for requested ~~LASE or~~ SPR. GSA does not participate in MILSTRAP ~~LASE and~~ SPR procedures. If required, submit funded DoD MILSTRIP requisition citing applicable required delivery date.

(6) MILSTRAP Appendix 2.14 (AP 2.14), LASE Request Codes (DLMS Data Dictionary qualifier EU). Delete AP2.14 LASE Request Codes and renumber remaining appendices as needed; update the Table of Contents as needed.

(7) MILSTRAP LASE Transaction Appendices 3.38-3.41. Delete the following appendices; renumber remaining appendices as needed; update the Table of Contents as needed:

- AP3.38, DIC DTA, Asset Support Request.
- AP3.39, DIC DTB, Asset Support Reply (Asset Data).
- AP3.40, DIC DTC, Asset Support Reply (Backorder Condition).
- AP3.41, DIC DTD, Asset Support Request Follow-up.

7. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

a. Advantages. Cost avoidance by not programming an old legacy process into the modernized system.

b. Disadvantages. None identified.

8. IMPLEMENTATION: Elimination of the LASE process is effective with publication of this change, and will be documented in the MILSTRAP, MILSTRIP and DLMS manuals when they are reissued as Defense Logistics Manuals in June 2012.

9. IMPACT:

a. DOD Components Automated Information System (AIS):

(1) Army identified no Army impact. Army stated by PDC 400 that Army has not used the LASE process for years and no Services have expressed an impact since the Army fielded their Logistics Modernization Program (LMP) to the U.S. Army Communications and Electronics Command in July 2003 and to the US Army Aviation and Missile Command in May 2009. LMP does not process LASE transactions.

(2) DLA. There would be no impact to DLA. DLA previously documented by PDC 301 that they did not implement LASE in their modernized system, EBS.

(3) Air Force, Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard: May require change to AIS processing LASE transactions, to stop sending LASE transactions. Each Service should address this within their systems as needed.

b. DLMS Data: Refer to paragraph 6, Revisions to DOD 4000.25 series of manuals, for the impacts to DLMS Data.

   c. DLA Transaction Services.

   (1) Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) Publication. May require revision to the DAAS manual to revise any guidance associated with the MILSTRAP-DLMS LASE process. Draft Defense Logistics Manual (DLM) 4000.25-4 is under development to replace the DOD 4000.25-10-M, DAAS manual, in accordance with DODI 4140.01.

   (2) DAAS. DLA Logistics Management Standards requested that DLA Transaction Services determine if LASE transactions (DICs DTA, DTB, DTC, DTD or 846L) were currently being submitted to DAAS. DLA Transaction Services reported that no LASE transactions processed through DAAS in March 2012.

10. RESPONSES TO PDC 400:

COMPONENT	RESPONSE
USA 4/27/2010	Concur.
USN 4/19/2010	Concur. The Navy's position is that we recommend elimination of the LASE process. We have not found any interest in this process within Navy, and we do not plan to continue this process with Navy ERP.
USAF 5/3/2010	The Air Force concurs with PDC. We agree with the Army and Navy position that we eliminate the LASE process. In fact, the Air Force does not plan to continue this process with Air Force ERP.
USMC 9/23/2010	This Headquarters has reviewed the impact of the cancellation of LASE to Marine Corps and concurs with cancellation of LASE.
DLA 4/23/2010	DLA concurs.
DPAP Contractor Support 3/31/2010	Do not see any impact to WAWF, GFP CONOPS, IUID Registry, GFP Hub, or IUID Policy
USTRANSCOM 3/25/2010	Abstains

Enclosures
As stated

ENCLOSURE 1 TO ADC 400
Elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process no Longer Required by the DOD Components (DLMS/MILSTRAP/MILSTRIP)

Revise DOD 4000.25-2-M, MILSTRAP, Chapter 9, Rejection, Routing, and Reversal of Transactions, Section C9.3., Routing of Transactions, as follows (changes are identified by *bold, red italic ~~strikethroughs~~*):

C9.3. ROUTING OF TRANSACTIONS

      C9.3.1. Submission of MILSTRAP Transactions via the ***DLA Transaction Services***. Whenever the submitter has access to the Defense Information Systems Network (DISN)/Non-Secure Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNET), the following MILSTRAP ***~~Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) and~~*** Special Program Requirements (SPR) transactions ***shall*** be submitted by DISN/NIPRNET via the ***DLA Transaction Services*** for routing to the source of supply (SOS):

<u>CODE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT TITLE</u>
~~DTA~~	***~~Asset Support Request~~***
~~DTD~~	***~~Asset Support Request Follow-Up~~***
DYA	Special Program Requirement Request
DYC	Special Program Requirement Request Cancellation
DYD	Special Program Requirement Request Modifier
DYG	Special Program Requirement Request Substitute Item Acceptance
DYH	Special Program Requirement Request Substitute Item Rejection
DYJ	Special Program Requirement Follow-Up
DYL	Special Program Requirement Request (Cooperative Logistics Supply Support Arrangement)

      C9.3.2. ***DLA Transaction Services*** Processing for ***~~LASE and~~*** SPR Transactions. Upon receipt of the ***~~LASE and~~*** SPR transactions identified in C9.3.1, ***DLA Transaction Services shall*** edit the national stock number (NSN) field of the incoming transactions. This edit will determine if the national item identification number (NIIN) can be identified, if the Federal Supply Class (FSC) is compatible with the NIIN, and if the transaction is directed to the correct SOS. The ***DLA Transaction Services shall*** pass the transaction, reject the transaction, or effect necessary changes to process the transaction and provide appropriate status notification to the submitter as follows:

      C9.3.2.1. If the NIIN is valid (including NIINs coded obsolete/inactive) but the FSC and NIIN are not compatible, correct the FSC and provide NSN change status notification to the submitter as prescribed in paragraph C9.3.3., below.

      C9.3.2.2. If the NIIN is valid (including NIINs coded obsolete/inactive), screen the SOS file Service record (or Integrated Materiel Manager record when the Service record

source is either another DoD Component activity or matches the input transaction RI "from") and process as follows:[1]

C9.3.2.2.1. If the incoming transaction is directed to a correct non-GSA SOS, pass the transaction to the activity indicated in record positions 4-6.

C9.3.2.2.2. If the incoming transaction is directed to an incorrect SOS and the correct SOS is not GSA, provide reroute status notification to the submitter as prescribed in paragraph C9.3.3. and reroute the transaction to the correct SOS.

C9.3.2.2.3. If the incoming transaction is directed to a correct GSA SOS or to an incorrect SOS but the correct SOS is GSA, reject the transaction to the submitter as prescribed in paragraph C9.2.3., citing Reject Advice Code AX in record positions 79-80.

C9.3.2.3. If the NIIN cannot be identified, reject the transaction to the submitter as prescribed in paragraph C9.2.3. citing Reject Advice Code AD in record positions 79-80.

C9.3.3. **DLA Transaction Services** Preparation of Status Notifications. The **DLA Transaction Services shall** prepare and transmit appropriate DI Code DZ9 Status Notification(s) (see appendix AP3.55) to the submitter based on the action(s) taken.

C9.3.3.1. Prepare a status notification whenever the FSC is corrected in the incoming transaction. Reflect the correct FSC in record positions 8-11 and MILSTRIP Status Code BG in record positions 79-80.

C9.3.3.2. Prepare a status notification whenever the incoming transaction was rerouted. Reflect the RI code of the correct SOS in record positions 67-69 and MILSTRIP Status Code BM in record positions 79-80.

C9.3.3.3. Whenever a status notification is prepared, enter the DI code of the incoming transaction in record positions 57-59 of the status notification.

C9.3.4. Processing Status Notifications and Transaction Rejects by Recipients

C9.3.4.1. When MILSTRAP DI Code DZ9 Status Notifications with MILSTRIP Status Code BM are received on MILSTRAP transactions, the recipient **shall** update internal ~~LASE/~~SPR records to reflect the SOS RI code entered in record positions 67-69 of the status notification.

C9.3.4.2. When DI Code DZ9 MILSTRAP Status Notifications with MILSTRIP Status Code BG are received on MILSTRAP transactions, the recipient **shall** update internal ~~LASE/~~SPR records to reflect the FSC entered in record positions 8-11 of the notification. If the status notification is for an SPR transaction, the originator **shall** review the NSN (FSC and NIIN) to ensure the SPR being processed is for the desired item. If the NSN is not the desired item, the originator will submit an SPR cancellation, DI Code DYC, to the SOS.

---

[1] SOS for obsolete/inactive items will be taken from the SOS file when possible; otherwise, the SOS will be the activity identified by the RI code in record positions 4-6 of the incoming transaction.

C9.3.4.3. DI Code DZG Transaction Rejects will be processed under paragraph C9.2.3., above. However, recipients of code AB transaction rejects for ~~LASE and~~ SPR documents **shall** advise their designated Component contact point for cataloging data of a **DLA Transaction Services** SOS file discrepancy. If the ~~LASE/~~SPR requirement still exists, requestors must submit a new ~~LASE/~~SPR document directly to the correct SOS, bypassing the **DLA Transaction Services**.

C9.3.4.4. Recipients of a MILSTRAP status notification **shall** notify other activities requiring knowledge of the change and will ensure all future transactions submitted for the document number reflect the change identified by the MILSTRIP status code in the notification.

ADC 400,
Encl 1

ENCLOSURE 2 TO ADC 400
Elimination of the MILSTRAP-DLMS LASE Process and Transactions No Longer Required
by the DOD Components

DLMS SUPPLEMENT 870L CHANGE TABLE
Revise DLMS 870L to eliminate reference to the LASE process as follows:

#	Location	DLMS 870L Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status or Notification	Reason
1.	DLMS Supplement TITLE	Revise DS 870L Title as follows: Old Title: Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status~~,~~ or ~~*SPR / Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE)*~~ Notification New Title: Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status or Notification	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
2.	DLMS Introductory Note 1	Revise DLMS Note 1 as follows: *1. Inventory Control Points (ICPs) or Integrated Material Managers (IMMs) use this transaction set to provide Special Program Requirements (SPR) status on SPR requests (corresponds to MILSTRAP Document Identifier (DI) Code DYK functionality).* ~~***The Defense Automatic Addressing System Center (DAASC)***~~ ***DLA Transaction Services*** *uses this transaction set to notify the organization originating the SPR* ~~*or Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE)*~~ *transaction that the transaction was rerouted or that the Federal Supply Classification (FSC) in the original transaction was revised (corresponds to MILSTRAP DI Code DZ9 functionality).*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
3.	DLMS Introductory Note 4	Add ADC 400 to DLMS introductory note 4: *- ADC 400, Elimination of the DLMS-MILSTRAP Logistics Asset Support Estimate (LASE) Process no Longer Required by the DOD Components (DLMS/MILSTRAP/MILSTRIP).*	Identifies PDCs/ADCs in the DLMS Supplement.
4.	1/BSR01/20	Revise DLMS Note for qualifier 3 as follows: **3 Unsolicited Report** **DLMS Note:** *Status Notification.* ~~***DAASC***~~ ***DLA Transaction Services*** *uses Status Notification transactions to notify the submitter that an SPR* ~~*or LASE*~~ *transaction was rerouted or that the FSC changed. (MILSTRAP **DIC** DZ9 functionality.)*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process. **NOTE:** Administrative updates made throughout DS 870L to change DAASC to DLA Transaction Services. Those administrative updates are not shown in this table, unless some other change was made to the DLMS note.

#	Location	DLMS 870L Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status or Notification	Reason
5.	1/N101/50	Revise DLMS Note for Qualifier GP as follows: **GP Gateway Provider** **DLMS Note:** *This is **DLA Transaction Services** ~~DAASC. DAASC~~ **DLA Transaction Services** uses when providing Status Notification that **~~a LASE or~~** **an** SPR transaction was rerouted or that the FSC has changed (BSR01 Status Report Code 3.)*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
6.	2/HL/10	Revise segment level DLMS note as follows: **DLMS Note:** *The transaction set hierarchical data structure is **for** SPR **~~or LASE~~** transaction status only.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
7.	2/HL03/10	Revise DLMS Note for qualifier W as follows: **W Transaction Reference Number** **DLMS Note:** *Use to identify the individual SPR **~~or LASE~~** transaction status loops.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
8.	2/DTM/80	Revise segment level DLMS note as follows: **DLMS Note:** *Use in SPR**~~/LASE~~** status transactions.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
9.	2/REF01/87	Revise DLMS Note for qualifier WL as follows: **WL Federal Supply Classification Code** **DLMS Note:** *Use for Status Notification transactions to identify the correct FSC associated with the materiel cited in an SPR **~~or LASE~~** transaction.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
10	2/N101/90	Revise DLMS Note for qualifier 78 as follows: **78 Service Requester** **DLMS Note:** *Must use to indicate the activity submitting the original SPR **~~or LASE~~** transaction.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.

#	Location	DLMS 870L Special Program Requirements (SPR) Status or Notification	Reason
11	2/LQ01/145	Revise DLMS Note for qualifier 81 as follows: **81 Status Code** **DLMS Note:** *Use multiple repetitions when needed to identify status associated with the transaction number to notify of an FSC change (Status Code BG) and/or that ~~DAASC~~ DLA Transaction Services rerouted ~~a LASE or~~ an SPR transaction (Status Code BM); otherwise do not use. Use with Status Notification transactions (BSR01 code 3).*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
12	2/QTY/230	Revise segment level DLMS note 2 as follows: **DLMS Note:** *2. Do not use with transactions notifying of errors in SPR ~~or LASE~~ transactions.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.
13	2/DTM/240	Revise segment level DLMS note 2 as follows: **DLMS Note:** *2. Do not use with transactions notifying of errors in SPR ~~or LASE~~ transactions.*	Eliminates reference to the LASE process.