



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
DEFENSE ENTERPRISE DATA STANDARDS OFFICE
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

April 24, 2026

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Supply Discrepancy Reporting Process Review Committee Defense Logistics
Management Standards Summit Meeting Minutes, April 2026

This memorandum forwards the attached meeting minutes of the Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) Process Review Committee (PRC). These minutes provide updates regarding the Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) PRC breakout session at the Defense Logistics Management Standards Summit.

The DEDSO point of contact is Corbin Austin, e-mail: DEDSO.SDR@dla.mil.

Sarah C. Hall
Director, Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office

Attachments:
As stated

cc:
ODASW(Logistics)
SDR PRC Attendees

MEETING MINUTES FROM THE SUPPLY DISCREPANCY REPORTING (SDR) PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) BREAKOUT SESSION AT THE DEFENSE LOGISTICS MANAGEMENT STANDARDS (DLMS) SUMMIT, APRIL 2026

SUBJECT: SDR PRC Breakout Session DLMS Summit Meeting Minutes, April 2026

Purpose: The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) convened in person at Logistics Management Institute Headquarters in Tysons Corner, and the SDR PRC provided a virtual option to attend the breakout session via [teleconference](#) on April 02, 2026.

Meeting documentation: The meeting agenda, briefing material, and the Action Item Tracker are available on the DEDSO [SDR PRC Webpage](#). **Please provide responses to open action items within 30 days of distribution by sending an e-mail to DEDSO.SDR@dla.mil.**

Brief Summary of Discussion:

Opening Remarks: Corbin Austin, DEDSO SDR Administrator, welcomed attendees and encouraged discussion from all participants.

Meeting Topics: Corbin Austin provided a brief overview of the topics to be discussed, as outlined below.

Action Items: There are 10 open action items.

1. Agenda Topic 1 – Chapter 17 Rewrite Ideas:

a. DEDSO introduced nine initial update proposals for Chapter 17, along with a proposal provided by the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). The team will rewrite Chapter 17 using the DLMS template over several months, with full implementation expected over a five-year period.

(1) Idea 1: Restrict customers from submitting information-only overage SDRs (Types 6, 7, and V) using action codes “1H” and “3B” because overages require financial review.

(a) Feedback:

1. DLA and Air Force Security Assistance and Cooperation (AFSAC) support the restriction.

2. DLA also recommends assessing whether similar limits should apply to shortages.

(b) Action Item 1 (Status – Open): DLA will review the relevance of action codes “1B” and “1G,” and DLA and DEDSO will meet to determine whether to broaden the proposal.

(2) Idea 2: Remove the 300-series reply codes and owner/manager/source of supply (OMS) values and route all transactions through 504 transactions to simplify system logic and reduce rejections, particularly for Type 7 SDRs. The proposal would standardize Type 7 processing from the customer to the distribution activity and then to the inventory control point.

(a) Feedback:

1. DLA and AFSAC tentatively agree and will continue discussions.

2. U.S. Army requires further analysis because Global Combat Support System and Logistics Modernization Program cannot process Type 11 replies and currently rely on 504 transactions.

3. U.S. Marine Corps needs additional time to review because its subject matter experts depend on owner and source of supply fields.

(b) Action Item 2 (Status – Open): DEDSO will schedule future meetings with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal.

(3) Idea 3: Eliminate legacy document types A, N, and P SDRs because Types 8, 9, and R already cover the same functions.

(a) Feedback:

1. U.S. Navy supports the proposal.

2. U.S. Air Force, U.S. Marine Corps, and DLA raised concerns that will require a follow-up discussion.

(b) Action Item 3 (Status – Open): DEDSO will schedule future meetings with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal.

(4) Idea 4: Update the SDR duplicate check logic by adding the supporting maintenance document number, system control numbers, and removing the discrepancy code from the check.

(a) Feedback: All Components concur.

(b) No action item.

(5) Idea 5: Introduce set purpose code “06” to transmit Reply Code “103” as a receipt acknowledgment.

(a) Feedback: DLA, AFSAC, U.S. Air Force, and U.S. Navy support the addition.

(b) Action Item 4 (Status – Open): PRC Representatives not in attendance must provide feedback to DEDSO concerning the proposal.

(6) Idea 6: Adds qualifier C9 in the DLMS 842A/R transaction so Types 6, 7, and V can include the credit memo number.

(a) Feedback: DLA, AFSAC, the Navy, and the Army concur.

(b) Action Item 5 (Status – Open): PRC Representatives not in attendance must provide feedback to DEDSO concerning the proposal.

(7) Idea 7: Authorize automatic credit for non-shortage/overage-related discrepancies for customer Type 6/7 SDRs. Direct customers to return the material, which eliminates dual actions, such as issuing credit and later providing disposition on a Type 8. DEDSO notes that future Supply Transaction Working Group decisions may convert credits to buy/sell actions, which would remove this proposal from consideration.

(a) Feedback:

1. DLA does not concur but will continue discussions.
2. U.S. Army raised concerns about issuing credit automatically.
3. U.S. Navy and AFSAC support the proposal.

(b) **Action Item 6 (Status – Open)**: DEDSO will schedule a future meeting with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal.

(8) Idea 8: Add an FMS-specific, information-only action code that will result in rejected replies containing codes “101” or “525” to prevent unauthorized credits below Letter of Acceptance thresholds.

(a) Feedback: All Components concur.

(b) No action item.

(9) Idea 9: Allow Components to identify invalid SDR Routing Identifier Codes (RICs) and Department of Defense Activity Address Codes (DoDAACs) and coordinate with DEDSO and Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) to create WebSDR rejection rules.

(a) Feedback: All Components concur.

(b) No action item.

(10) Idea 10: Update the narrative text for cause codes “EL,” “FD,” “OA,” and “SI” to align with DLA EBS terminology. Without updated text, EBS would need to create four new codes.

(a) Feedback: U.S. Marine Corps reports no issues with updating the text.

(b) **Action Item 7 (Status – Open)**: PRC Representatives will review and determine if changing the text will impact their Component. DEDSO will schedule a future meeting with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal.

2. Agenda Topic 2 – Web Supply Discrepancy Reporting (WebSDR) Application Edits:

a. DEDSO and DAAS have developed two new WebSDR application edits that will be implemented on April 8th unless additional testing is required.

- (1) The system will block Type 7 SDRs submitted to DLA RIC SMS or DoDAAC SL4701.

(2) The system will allow Type 7 SDRs submitted to the U.S. Army only when customers send them to valid Army Source of Supply RICs listed in Army guidance on the WebSDR application.

b. Action Item 8 (Status – Open): DEDSO and DAAS will coordinate testing with DLA and U.S. Army prior to implementing the edits.

3. Agenda Topic 3 – Inspection Type Code (Qualifier EZ) Discussion:

a. DEDSO noted inconsistent use of this qualifier across DLMS implementation conventions and asked whether Components are using or mapping it. DEDSO may consolidate or remove unused codes in the future.

b. Feedback:

(1) U.S. Air Force does not map the field.

(2) U.S. Marine Corps uses the field and will provide details separately.

(3) DLA is unsure whether it uses the field and believes it may be a legacy carryover from the SQ-to-AW transition.

c. Action Item 9 (Status – Open): All Components will determine whether they map or use inspection type code values and provide feedback to DEDSO.

4. Agenda Topic 4 – Status Codes for War Data Platform (WDP) (Formerly Advana):

a. DEDSO is defining codes that classify every stage of the SDR lifecycle—open, rejected, closed, and canceled—along with sub-statuses, such as follow-ups, reconsiderations, and contested actions.

b. Components will not send these status codes; the codes will function as internal tracking tools only.

c. The team will feed the coded data into Tableau and Qlik dashboards within WDP.

d. The organization may transition existing WebSDR reporting to WDP as part of this effort.

e. Feedback:

(1) Participants questioned whether SDR metrics should take priority over higher-impact supply chain metrics.

(2) Several members emphasized the need for enterprise-level coordination to prevent duplicate audit findings.

(3) Deputy Assistant Secretary of War (Logistics) clarified that the effort is not operating in isolation and expects additional engagement through the Supply Chain Metrics Working Group.

5. Agenda Topic 5 – Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) Discussion:

a. The SPRS Team observed that vendors often learn about an SDR through SPRS before any Component notifies them directly. The SPRS Team asked the committee to review how each Component notifies vendors of discrepancies.

b. Action Item 10 (Status – Open): Each Component will gather internal process flows from their contracting offices identifying how vendors are notified of discrepancies. DEDSO will add this as a topic for a future working group meeting.

6. Agenda Topic 6 – Closing Remarks: Corbin Austin thanked the participants for the great discussion and progress, highlighting the importance of communication from the group.