



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221

November 25, 2008

IN REPLY
REFER TO DLMSO

MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Defense Logistics Management Systems (DLMS) Supply Process Review
Committee (SPRC) Meeting 08-02, October 14-16, 2008

The attached minutes of the DLMS Supply PRC Meeting (08-02) are forwarded for your information and appropriate action. The next meeting will be scheduled at a later date.

The Defense Logistics Management Standards Office points of contact are Ms. Ellen Hilert at 703-767-0676; or, e-mail: Ellen.Hilert@dla.mil and Ms. Mary Jane Johnson at 703-767-0677; or, e-mail: Mary.Jane.Johnson@dla.mil.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donald C. Pipp", written over a circular stamp or mark.

DONALD C. PIPP
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office

Attachment

DUSD(L&MR)SCI
Supply PRC Committee Attendees



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221

November 25, 2008

DLMSO
IN REPLY
REFER TO

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) Supply Process Review
Committee (SPRC) Meeting 08-02, October 14-16, 2008

Purpose: Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO) hosted the subject meeting at the Kforce Government Solutions Office in Fairfax, Virginia. Specific discussion topics are noted below. A list of attendees is shown at Attachment 1. All meeting handouts and briefing material are available on the SPRC Web Page (refer to the meeting agenda): <http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/Programs/Committees/Supply/supplyPRC.asp>.

Brief Summary of Discussion: Ms. Ellen Hilert, Supply PRC Chair, and Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, MILSTRAP Administrator facilitated discussion.

Review of Meeting Topics: Action items specified below are due 14 days from the date of the memorandum unless otherwise indicated. The meeting began with a review of open topics from the last SPRC meeting. Updated status is provided below, integrated with current agenda topics as applicable.

a. Use of Passive Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) Technology to implement the Joint Regional Inventory Material Management (JRIMM) Pilot project. This project would provide AE_ supply status transaction prepared as a result of passive RFID tag reads. These supply transactions would provide notification to Navy customers and other status recipients that material has arrived, departed or been observed at an intermediate supply/transportation location. A draft Proposed DLMS change (PDC) 304 was submitted by the Navy for evaluation by DLA J-6, DAASC, and DLMSO. DLMSO provided status to the Navy in October 2008 which included DAASC non-concurrence due to hardware acquisition and program development costs. The DLA Chief Technical Officer advised against added infrastructure investments and requested other alternatives be explored. In response, the IDE program office reviewed the Navy request and provided a high-level estimate of cost and steps. Although several approaches were discussed, it is clear that this path would also be very costly. DLMSO and DAASC would support joint Service/Agency, USTRANSCOM, and OSD participation in further study and development of joint DoD process enhancements for use of pRFID for intransit visibility under modernization/ERP or a web-services environment. **ACTION:** DLMSO requested that the Navy, if intending to continue use of the current interim process for generation of supply status by GlobeRanger, establish unique status codes that do not conflict with DoD-approved status codes already in use for another business process.

b. Navy Proposal for Transaction Exchange Supporting Directed Returns - Product Quality Discrepancy Report (PQDR), Supply Discrepancy Report (SDR) Transportation Discrepancy Report (TDR) and Retrograde. Mr. Louis Koplin, NAVICP, briefed the committee on draft PDC 331 which proposes modification of DLMS

Supplement 180M, 870M and 856R to identify a reason for directed material returns. These returns include the DoD Material Returns Program, Navy Depot Level Repairable Exchange, discrepant material being returned via the PQDR, SDR and TDR programs. Ms. Hilert emphasized that this proposal should be viewed as a DoD wide process not just Navy unique and requested that the Navy rewrite the PDC to include additional details and functional procedures so that all Components can evaluate for applicability. **ACTION: NAVY** enhance PDC 331 to provide additional details and functional procedures. A DLMSO/Navy meeting to discuss the business process in greater detail is scheduled for January 2009.

c. DoD Activity Address Directory (DoDAAD)/Military Activity Address Directory Re-engineering

(1) Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 298 was issued on September 16, 2008. The change documents proposed procedures applicable to the reengineered DODAAD; added new fields to the DAASINQ; enhanced the inquiry; established DoD policy that requires deploying units to have current DoDAAC information prior to deployment; and expands the capability of the web update page. The ADC did not revise business rules associated with assignment/applicability of multiple contract numbers for a specified contractor-series DoDAAC due to a lack of consensus among the Components. This issue has been referred to the DODAAD PRC for further analysis.

(2) PDC 324 Country Codes in the DODAAD. Mr. Dale Yeakel, DLMSO, provided an overview of the Country Code Table. The table contains five different country and activity code fields used in various ways by different Components: International Standards Office (ISO) two digits, ISO three digits, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) (maintained by National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), MILSTRIP, and Foreign Military Sales (FMS) (a subset of MILSTRIP codes prescribed for use by Defense Security Cooperation Agency). For several years now there has been a desire on the part of DoD to settle on the use of a single country code standard. While no standard country code standard has yet become DoD policy there has been a leaning toward the ISO country code standard. The matrix used to populate/identify the physical country in the DoDAAD (also designed for use in the MAPAD re-engineering effort) displays a cross-walk of all available codes. The ISO2 is the anchor or key for the table. Because of it is the anchor, under the current rules, the ISO2 field will always be populated, even if DLMSO must assign a pseudo code value. Pseudo ISO2 codes are flagged in the Country Code Table. The purpose of PDC 325 is to prevent selection of non-counties (i.e., FMS activity codes which are representative of an FMS case/programs) when creating physical addresses associated with DoDAACs in the DoDAAD. **ACTION:** The continuing need for and use of pseudo ISO2 country codes for actual countries is currently under review within DLMSO and options are being examined. Any changes to the basic use of and composition of the DODAAD Country Code Table will be coordinated through DLMS PDC/ADC.

d. Customer and Ship-to Identification via EMALL and GSA Internet

Ordering. BACKGROUND: DLMSO and DLA HQ previously requested business rule changes within DoD EMALL that would require use of a requisitioner DoDAAC (specific to each customer) to replace the use of the generic EMALL DoDAACs. A partial solution will be implemented in February 2009, to prohibit the use of the EMALL DoDAAC (SP5200) as the requisitioning DODAAC for on-line ordering by contractors. However, the SP5200, EMALL DoDAAC can still be used by Government customers using credit/purchase cards. Ms. Hilert advocated that EMALL should prohibit the use of SP5200 for customers ordering from DoD supply sources, to include state and local government personnel. Mr. Vitko, Contractor Support DLMSO, suggested that DAASC could implement an edit that would reject the requisition. Mr. Garvey, GSA, agreed that customers should use a valid Activity Address Code (AAC) or DoDAAC when ordering on-line from GSA. Mr. Bruce Probert from the OSD Project Management Office for Purchase Cards indicated that DoD policy requires the ability to determine which specific activities are buying material with purchase cards, which would require the use of their own DODAAC. This policy is reflected in the current DoD Authorization Act and recent GAO reports. Currently, Air Force requires that all their activities use an Air Force generic EMALL DoDAAC (FA4440) when ordering from EMALL, which presents the same problem of not being able to determine the activity that actually placed the order. Mr. Vitko said that the original reason the Air Force used this DoDAAC was to allow customers to order material and have it delivered directly to their office rather than being shipped to central receiving, where in many cases the material was lost. Ms. Hilert indicated that that she would arrange a phone call with the Air Force and Mr. Probert to discuss changes in Air Force policy. She also requested a DLMS change proposal from EMALL that addresses the procedures for using SP5200. Ms. Hilert also expressed concern about the use of clear text addressing for internet ordering. Although DoD EMALL was previously authorized the use of clear text addressing for purchase card customers (ADC 59), the use of a DoDAAC is an essential element for Military Shipping Labels and lack of a ship-to DoDAAC has proved problematic when these orders flow into the Defense Transportation System. The transportation and tracking issues caused Ms. Hilert to turn down a request from GSA for authorization to use clear text addressing for their internet order. Ms. Hilert recommended that restrictions be considered for continued DoD EMALL use of exception addressing. Ms. Heidi Daverede, DLMSO, indicated she had information on a study directed by OSD-TP to analyze frustrated freight at the DLA CCPs and TRANSCOM ports. Subsequent to the meeting these briefings were posted to the DLMSO web site and are available as a link to the Supply PRC agenda. **ACTION: DLMSO requests specific input from OSD DPAP/BTA and/or USTRANSCOM to support proper interpretation of interpretation of policy regarding use of DoDAACs for customer and ship-to locations in internet ordering. EMALL:** Provide a proposed DLMS change outlining procedures for restricted use of SP5200. **EMALL and USAF:** Ms. Hilert requested that both DLIS and Air Force review the actual text addresses for both SP5200 and FA4440 in the DODAAF and update the wording to clarify that both are for restricted for EMALL purchase card orders.

e. Management Control Activity (MCA) Procedures. Each Component was requested to provide a briefing of their implementation of the policy contained in DoD 4140.1-R, Chapter 5, paragraph C5.11, Control of Access to DoD Materiel Inventories required by Defense Contracts. The DoD policy and procedures require the Components establish MCA to control the

access to DoD supply system material in accordance with the terms of the contracts that allow access. The briefing was to identify each MCA; provide a copy or link for the Component implementing procedures; identify the information systems used to implement the controls prescribed by DoD policy; provide a flow diagram describing the control process; identify the activities and the automated system that generates the Government Furnished Material (GFM) Status Report (DD Form 2543); identify the automated system maintaining the required transaction histories and identify any changes in the process due to system modernization. In a related discussion, Ms. Hilert discussed DoD requirements that contractor access to DoD supply sources be controlled. This includes Contractor Furnished Material (CFM) (contractor paid) to ensure that the contractor's purchase is associated with a DoD contract. The Army has identified standard procedures for validating Contractor Furnished Material (CFM) requisitions under MILSTRIP Chapter 11. However no other Components have furnished similar procedures. **ACTION: ALL COMPONENTS EXCEPT ARMY** provide procedures for the management controls over CFM purchases.

(1) **NAVY.** Ms. Barbara Willier, NAVSUP, briefed the committee on the Navy implementation of MCA Procedures. A flow diagram was provided for the process as it will be when the Navy ERP is implemented. However, more detail is required to determine if adequate controls will be in place to comply with DoD policy **ACTION: Navy** provide addition details on the Navy implementation of the MCA Procedures. **SUBSEQUENT** to the meeting, addition information on Navy MCA procedures was provided and is under review.

(2) **Air Force.** Ms. Bobbie Ziolek, GLSC, provided a briefing on the Air Force implementation of the MCA procedures. Ms. Ziolek outlined the process as it exists in the legacy systems and indicated that there are two distinct Management Control Activities in the Air Force. The Special Support Stock Control (SSSC) System (D035D) handles both production and Contract Depot Maintenance Activity Group repair contractor GFM/loan/lease and Contract Depot Maintenance repair contractor loan/lease orders. The web-enabled Commercial Asset Visibility (CAV II) handles MCA validation for CDM repair contractor GFM orders. SSSC is tentatively scheduled to be subsumed by the Expeditionary Combat Support System (ECSS) no earlier than calendar year 2012. CAVII is tentatively scheduled to be subsumed by ECSS no earlier than calendar year 2011. Ms. Hilert asked if the process will be re-engineered when the Air Force ERPs are implemented and if CAV/AF will replace CAV II or if they are separate processes. **ACTION: Air Force** provide requested information.

(3) **Army.** Ms. Judy Loncaric, LAISO, briefed the Committee on the Army implementation of the MCA procedures. Ms. Loncaric provided details of how the MCA works with the legacy system. Some of this functionality is scheduled for implementation in LMP in November 2008, however the required GFM Status Report will not be produced by LMP under current design. Ms. Hilert indicated that more details are required from the Army on how the MCA process will work in LMP. **ACTION: ARMY** provide addition details on how the MCA process will work in LMP.

(4) **Marine Corps.** Ms. Marsha Ford, Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany, discussed the Marine Corps MCA process. She indicated that the MCA process in the Marine Corps is manual. She also said that the GFM Status Report is prepared manually, but is

not sent to anyone. Ms. Hilert requested addition written detail on the process. **ACTION: MARINE CORPS** provide detailed procedures on the MCA process.

(5) DLA. Ms. Dannette White, DLA, briefed that with the implementation of Enterprise Business System (EBS) DLA no longer has an MCA for internal DLA contracts. The standard SAP Subcontracting Purchase Order functionality is used in EBS to support these contracts/purchase orders that require DLA material to be pushed to the contractor as GFM. Under this process no requisitions are involved. A delivery is generated directly against the DLA contract/purchase order or a release order is generated against stocked material. The material is shipped to the vendor, but remains on EBS records in a category described as Stock Provided to Vendor. Requisitions submitted to DLA that support external Service-managed contracts remain subject to MCA validation for that Service. Ms. Hilert indicated that DLA should document this change in the MCA process in a PDC. **ACTION: DLA** provide PDC to document the DLA “push” business process. [Subsequent to the meeting a rough PDC was provided but contained insufficient documentation for staffing and update of MILSTRIP/DLMS.] DLA was asked to verify capability to perform MCA validation for DLA contractor-initiated requisitions (appears to be a gap for pull vs. push supply action). DLA must provide a PDC for identification of SD-series DoDAACs as contractors. Improper use of the Service Assignment Code “S” does not permit proper identification of a contractor DoDAAC and requisitions would subsequently bypass Component MCA edits.

f. Transmission of Exception Requisitions. This discussion involved the routing rules DAASC currently uses for exception requisitions. There is some confusion as which rules applies to each Component. DLA wants exception requisitions to be routed by DAASC the same way as normal requisitions, i.e., to the source of supply (SoS). However, this cannot be done if the exception is for extended part numbered items since routing is based upon the SoS for the NSN. The Army has requested unique rules that exception requisitions without expanded content for exception data (e.g. Document Identifier YRZ) to be routed to the SoS, however those with exception data should be rejected. The Navy prefers that all requisitions be passed to the identified Routing Identifier rather than routed to the SoS. After discussion it was determined that in the absence of Component rules, DAASC will attempt to route exception requisitions (or pass if not NSN), but will follow Component guidance as requested. **ACTION: ALL COMPONENTS** provide/confirm desired rules for processing exception requisitions.

g. Material Receipt Acknowledgement (MRA). Ms. Johnson advised that DLMSO has completed analysis of the MRA Reports as confirmed to the Supply PRC representatives in an e-mail dated July 25, 2008. The reports currently available on the DAASC website for SPRC representative use are: MRA 01, MRA Summary Report; MRA 02, MRA Non-Response Report and MRA 05, MRA Greater than 500 Non-Response Report. A live on-line demonstration using the Marine Corps data was provided. DLMSO has also identified additional changes/enhancements which we would like DAASC to consider. DLMSO will formally provide those recommendations to DAASC in November. The recommendations include a general restructuring of the Summary Report to include counts, dollar value and percentages of total shipments both with and without MRAs. We will also request collection and display of data by the ship-to DODAAC, not the Service of the requisitioning DODAAC, with the only exception being if the ship-to DODAAC was a DSS depot. DLMSO will ask that an ad hoc query capability be

explored, and if feasible, DLMSO may request that the special reports developed for categories such as ammunition, medical, and Army Total Package Fielding, be deleted in favor of using ad hoc query. Other areas to be included in our discussions with DAASC will include collection/display of data under invalid and/or deleted DODAACS, along with discussions regarding the method used to determine CONUS/OCONUS designations.

ACTION: DLMSO formalize request to modify/enhance on-line Material Receipt Acknowledgement Reports and forward to DAASC in November 2008 for assessment. [Subsequent to the meeting, DLMSO emailed DAASC the recommended MRA Report revisions/enhancements on November 13, 2008 for review, and met with DAASC to discuss the changes on November 20, 2008.]

ACTION: All Components were asked to document and forward to DLMSO by November 7th, 2008, any problems encountered with using the on-line MRA Reports, as well as any desired enhancements. [No responses were received.]

ACTION: All Components were asked to identify by November 7th, 2008, how MILSTRAP DI Code DRA/DRB record position (rp) 7 is used by your Service for U.S. forces shipments. This information is critical for DAASC to map data to the DLMS 527R MRA. This is especially important if rp 7 is being used for something other than a partial or split shipment code. The DRA/DRB transactions are being converted to DLMS 527R for DLA EBS and Army Logistics Modernization Program (LMP). Currently DAASC mapping defaults to split or partial shipment indicator code in 2/N901-02/090, for entries in rp 7 for U.S. forces shipments, based on the MILSTRAP rp 7 guidance which states: "For security assistance shipments, enter the applicable mode of shipment, if available; otherwise, leave blank. For U.S. forces shipments, enter data prescribed by the Component (e.g., code for controlling receipt of partial or split quantities)."

ACTION: All Components Assure that use of the transportation control number (TCN) in DS 527R, as approved by ADC 247, is being incorporated in the DLMS MRA and retail receipt transactions in your modernized systems. ADC 247 is available at: <http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/eLibrary/Changes/approved3.asp>.

ACTION: DLA provide information regarding the ability of EBS to provide MRAs for contractors receiving Government Furnished Material (GFM). The DLA Management Control Activity which used to submit these MRAs has been replaced in EBS with the Subcontracting Purchase Order functionality. During the MRA report review, it appeared that the generation of these MRAs may not have been included as part of the EBS functionality. If the GFM MRAs are not being provided by EBS, indicate when EBS will be changed to accommodate this requirement.

h. Monitoring for Requisition Priority Abuse

(1) Ms. Hilert provided the committee with a demonstration of the Logistics Reports on the DAASC Web that allow the Components to monitor their activities for requisition priority abuse. Ms. Hilert emphasized that all Components should be looking at these reports periodically to monitor those activities that are assigning requisition Priority Designators that they are not authorized to assign and take corrective actions as appropriate. The Joint Staff representative for this functional area was unable to participate in the SPRC meeting as planned. The Services requested names of their points of contact working with the Joint Staff on FA/D assignment. **ACTION: DLMSO to request Joint Staff Service contacts. All Components** are to continue to monitor the DAAS reports and evaluate viability of a Service-based DAAS

downgrade of unauthorized F/AD I requisitions for discussion at the next SPRC meeting. During the report review, it was noted that some of the totals appeared to be out of sync; DAASC was requested to investigate.

(2) ADC 279, Automated Downgrade for Priority Abuse and Reporting Procedures. This change established procedures for GSA compliance with MILSTRIP business rules for requisition priority validation for Force or Activity Designator (F/AD) I activities on requisitions submitted directly to GSA for purchase of GSA managed item. This change removed the PD 01 exclusion from automatic downgrading for selected requisitions which do not identify authorized DODAACs and are originated via internet using GSA Advantage/Global or DoD EMALL. **STATUS:** Pending development of procedures for identifying the F/AD I authorized units within the requisition, Air Force Requisitions with PD 01 continue to be excluded from the expanded edits. Discussions with the AF are underway and this exclusion is considered temporary. The DAASC portion of ADC 279 has been implemented. GSA is working on their systems changes and will coordinate with DAASC for the testing/implementation. **ACTION:** The Joint Staff will pursue discussion of methodology used for Air Force F/AD assignment as it impacts monitoring of F/AD usage in requisitions.

i. Pre-Positioning of Project Codes for Disaster Relief. DLA J-3 suggested the Committee explore the establishment of a pipeline of project codes that can be used by DLA and all the Services to track actions related to disaster relief using the same project code. Ms. Hilert indicated she has discussed this with the Joint Staff and they do not object to assisting with the dissemination of MILSTRIP Category C project codes once assigned for this purpose. There are procedural issues which would need further clarification. A significant volume of new Category C project codes could quickly use up the allowable project code values, so a determination would be required to identify which disaster relief scenarios need to be tracked by project code and trigger the MILSTRIP assignment. DLA is encouraged to document requirements in a PDC. **ACTION: DLA J-3 develop PDC**

j. Procedures for Requesting Coordinated Implementation Dates for Approved DLMS Changes. The process for establishing implementation dates for approved DLMS changes with the Components is normally done after resolution of the comments on PDCs with a letter from DLMSO requesting a preferred implementation date. DLMSO would then announce a DOD implementation date through dissemination of an ADC. For the past few years DLMSO has gotten away from this process because many of the Components were in the process of developing ERPs, were unable to provide projected implementation dates, and were not making changes in legacy systems. Since some of the Components have now implemented their ERPs, it was determined that coordinating the implementation dates has again become an important planning tool to assist in implementing changes. It was agreed that DLMSO would provide in PDCs an assessment of the required implementation strategy and request Component input on strategy and an implementation timeline. Based on comments received, DLMSO would then provide, where possible, a coordinated implementation date in the ADC.

k. Status of WAWF for Direct Vendor Delivery Receipt Acceptance. Ms. Beth Altman, DLA, provided the committee with a briefing on the status of WAWF for Prime Vendor/Direct Vendor Delivery (PV/DVD) receipt acceptance. Ms. Altman said that DFARS 252.232.7003 clause mandates the use of WAWF for invoice, acceptance and/or documentation

to make payments to vendors. Problems have surfaced in obtaining formal acceptance for items delivered under PV/DVDs directly to a customer, rather than DLA depot. A memorandum from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (AT&L) has indicated that this is a failure to comply with the provisions of the Financial Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 32.905. The memorandum directed all Services and DLA investigate their current processes and procedures for compliance with the requirements for the use of WAWF and work together to establish acceptance procedures for DLA originated PV/DVD contracts where the Services are the direct recipients of the material. In addition, all Services and DLA are required to provide a report on any issues and the corrective actions planned to address those issues. There was a discussion on how customers know if destination acceptance is required. Ms. Hilert suggested that DLA should submit a change proposal for a new status code that would indicate to a customer that destination acceptance is required (as a variation of the current status code the only notifies the customer that the material is to be supplied as a DVD). There was also a discussion on an OSD proposal to have payment to vendors made as a result of the Materiel Receipt Acknowledge (MRA) provided by the customer's AIS to the SoS. However there would still be a gap as Services are not providing MRAs 100% of the time. Ms. Johnson indicated that use of the MRA as a formal acceptance report would require a redefinition of retail receipt processing requirements [Subsequent to the meeting, Ms. Kathy Smith, OSD-ATL, held a meeting with the Services and DLA to discuss compliance with the FAR and alternatives to allow compliance. In addition, Ms. Smith will host a Tri-Domain Meeting on December 11, 2008, to include logistics, finance and acquisition to further explore solutions for compliance.]

I. DLMS Migration/Implementation Status

(1) **Metrics.** Mr. Dale Yeakel, DLMSO, provided an overview of the DLMS migration metrics as originally adopted under the Jump Start Program. This is a Business Transformation Agency (BTA) sponsored program to motivate and assist Components to migrate to DLMS. Mr. Yeakel provided a briefing with current metrics used to track conversion for the MILS (80 card column transaction formats) to DLMS (variable length transactions formats). The September 2008 numbers reflect that of all transactions in and out of DAASC, 67% are MILS and 33% DLMS. The recent of addition of DLMS transactions under USTRANSCOM oversight has had a positive impact on the overall metrics. The BTA's goal is to be at 80% DLMS compliant by 2015, which the Services appear to be on track to accomplish.

(2) **Global Combat Support System (GCSS) Army.** The SPRC meeting regularly requests feedback from the Components on the status of DLMS implementation initiatives. An update on GCSS-Army development from a DLMS perspective was requested. **ACTION:** Provide a briefing at the next Supply PRC Meeting on the status of GCSS development with focus on DLMS interfaces and enhancement capability.

m. DLMS changes recently approved, in staffing or under development, or old Requests for Implementation Dates needing a fresh look.

(1) **Revised Request for Implementation Date (RFID) for Joint Approved MILS Changes AMCL 5, (MILSTRAP) and 13 (MILSTRIP), Date Packed/Expiration Date for Subsistence Items.** This change, which was approved in 1989

provides for accountability and asset stratification of subsistence materiel by date packed/expiration date within supply condition code. The change added the date packed definition to MILSTRAP and revised formats to incorporate the three position date packed/expiration date field for subsistence items in the applicable MILSTRAP/MILSTRIP transactions. The request for an implementation date is on hold pending DLA validation of the requirement. **ACTION:** DLA provide updated status on the approved change and request withdrawal of the change if now considered obsolete.

(2) ADC 282, Consolidation and Containerization (CCP)-Originated SDRs including Noncompliant Wood Packaging Material (WPM) Procedures with Cost Breakdown and New Procedures for Closing WPM and Passive RFID SDRs. This change supports generation of SDRs at the CCPs that involve shipments using noncompliant WPM. **Status:** Due to a disconnect in implementation planning between the Defense Distribution Center (DDC) and DLA HQ, the Distribution Standard System (DSS) implementation preceded DLA EBS capability to process these SDRs. **ACTION:** DLMSO working with DAASC to develop business rules to block transmission to applications that cannot process the transactions. DLA is to improve internal coordination of implementation dates.

(3) PDC 289, Revisions to Security Assistance Program, Procedures, Modification of the Definition of the Security Assistance Type of Assistance and Financing Codes and Policy Change to Billing Procedures. This change is still open pending consolidation of Component feedback. **ACTION:** Components are encouraged to provide any additional updates needed for the re-issuance of the MILSTRIP chapter supporting Security Assistance procedures.

(4) PDC 294, Security Assistance (SA) Use of DoD Electronic Mail (EMALL). This change is to allow FMS customers to access, query and order material through DoD EMALL. **STATUS:** Portions of this change are being piloted with the Navy. The DLA J-3 International Programs office is consolidating all comments received from the Components and finalizing the documentation for publication of approved procedures within MILSTRIP/DLMS. The completion of the change requires identification of a unique routing identifier code (RIC) to recognize interim in-process requisitions prepared via EMALL. The Services declined to establish Service-specific RICs for this purpose; however, DLA has now agreed to create a process-specific RIC for all Services to use. Completion of the ADC is anticipated by the end of the year.

(5) PDC 307, DLMS Lateral Redistribution Order Shipment Status (DLMS 856S/MILSTRIP AS6) and Party to Receive Credit. This proposed change corrects a disconnect between DLMS documentation and DAAS to properly identify the party to receive credit and the transaction originator when the shipment status is provided as lateral redistribution order (LRO) status. This changes also requests confirmation of planned DLMS enhancement to separately identify different parties to receive credit for material and packing, crating, handling and transportation (PCH&T). **ACTION: ALL COMPONENTS** are specifically requested to indicate if the DLMS enhancement to separately identify different parties to receive credit for material and PCH&T is desired as a future enhancement. Components must consider both customer and SoS perspective in their responses.

(6) ADC 303, Transportation Identification Numbers in Wide Area Workflow (WAWF). This approved change modifies WAWF to correctly handle transportation identification numbers and carrier identification codes. It is required to make the Transportation Control Number (TCN) data field in WAWF compliant with DoD business rules and enhance the visibility of secondary transportation identification numbers and carrier identification. The existing data field for bill of lading (BL) number is modified to allow proper identification of the type of BL (Commercial versus Government). **STATUS:** Funded for WAWF implementation in Release 4.1.

(7) Draft PDC 312, DLMS New Procedures for Material Returns from Deployed Maintenance Units under BRAC. This draft PDC, submitted by DLA, proposes to allow identification of deployed maintenance unit turn-ins from other maintenance turn-ins between the DSS and EBS and to establish new procedures for authorizing and processing customer credit for the returned material. Ms. Hilert indicated that there are several issues to be resolved prior to staffing. Specifically, more detailed procedures are needed for the establishment of the PMRD, to include who will prepare. In addition, there is a question as to SDRs applicability to this new process. Currently there is no system method to identify a BRAC return within the SDR transaction. Ms. Hilert further indicated that the PDC cannot be staffed until it addresses MILSBILLS revisions. **ACTION:** DLA provide answers to questions previously outlined in the PDC, to include the MILSBILLS, PMRD and SDR process.

(8) PDC 318, Notice of Availability (NOA) and NOA Reply Transaction Data Content/Mapping including Addition of Type Pack Code for 463L Pallets. This PDC which is out for staffing resolves a DLMS/MILS conversion issue between the MILSTRIP AD5 and the comparable DLMS 870N, Notice of Availability (NOA) Reply. It also modifies the location of the Type Pack Code in the DS 856N, NOA; updates MILSTRIP Appendix 3.30 to correctly identify the authorized data source for valid DoD Type Pack Codes; Updates the Type Pack Code Conversion Guide to add a new type pack code for 463L pallet and its applicable X12 conversion code.. The PDC also attempts to clarify identification of the “from” and “to” parties in NOA transaction formats, allowing for a future DLMS enhancement for identification of the country representative (CR) (specifically needed if automated NOAs are to be used for classified shipments) During the discussion, DLMSO repeated the guidance specifying an NOA/NOA reply is required for classified shipments. Ms. Hilert had requested that Components responding to the PDC provide feedback on how NOAs are communicated with the CR and how this could be done using transaction exchange. DAASC provided insight regarding current usage and potential for conversion of DLMS transactions for communication via DAASC Automated Message Exchange System (DAMES) or DAASC Integrated E-Mail Logistics System (DIELOG) accounts. DAASC promised to research further. Expanded use of automated NOAs to freight forwarders would reduce manual fax/email communication and provide a better audit trail. Since the DIELOG is similar to email and can be generated from the NOA transaction prepared by DSS, this seemed worth pursuing. Subsequent to the meeting: DAASC verified that Germany is still the only country that receives mechanized NOAs (using a DAMES account). Also, since there is currently only one country account, DAASC programming updates would be required to properly route to separate accounts for different countries. **ACTION: SERVICE ILCOs** are to evaluate expanded use of the mechanized NOA

for additional countries and provide specific feedback on the potential use of the mechanized NOA for classified shipment communication with the CR as a future DLMS enhancement.

(9) PDC 325, Retail Transportation-Supply Receipt and

Acknowledgement Requirements. Ms. Heidi Daverede, DLMSO, provided an overview and status of a new initiative to DLMS/MILS information exchange between retail Source of Supply (SOS) Automated Information Systems (AIS) and the retail Transportation Management Office AIS. PDC 325 outlines the changes required to implement the initiative. These changes includes the ability to pre-position release order data in transportation; submit follow-up status messages to transportation requesting updated shipment status; submit cancellation requests to transportation for release orders already turned over for shipment planning and execution; provide supply status messages from transportation to supply; provide cancellation response messages from transportation to supply; and submit material release confirmation messages from transportation to supply when the material has shipped. At present, there are five systems that are planning to use this standardized interchange; the Defense Medical Logistics Standard Support (DMLSS), Global Combat Support System – Marine Corps (GCSS-MC), Standard Base Supply System (SBSS) and Expeditionary Combat Support System (ECSS) systems represent the supply systems for their respective business areas and the Cargo Movement Operations System (CMOS) represents the transportation system. Systems other than these planning to use these standardized interchange transactions to implement a similar capability must coordinate with DLMSO and the United States Transportation Command prior to attempting to implement the interchange. Ms. Daverede indicated that all Service transportation POCs have been briefed on this initiative. Ms. Hilert said that there is a potential impact on DAASC depending on the volume of transactions expected to occur with this new process. **ACTION: All Components** provide projected volume of transactions as a result of this initiative.

(10) Draft PDC 330, New DLMS Advice Code for use with DS 527R

Response to Inquiry for Materiel Receipt and New Beginning Segment Action Code to Capture MILSTRAP DRB Functionality. This proposed change adds a new DLMS/MILSTRIP advice code for use with DS 527R. Advice codes were added to DS 527R to support one of the original DLMS enhancements. That enhancement provided DS 527R use of advice code with receipt transactions and with responses to inquiries for receipt status. An additional DS 527R use of advice Code when responding to an inquiry for receipt status was subsequently identified (for use when “Storage activity has no receipt in process but there is a Prepositioned Materiel Receipt (PMR) file”), however an advice code was never assigned due to limited code availability at that time. Advice codes have since become available for use. In addition, this change adds a DS 527R beginning segment action code to capture MILSTRAP Document Identifier Code DRB functionality for MRA sent in response to an Inquiry for a Delinquent MRA. [Subsequent to the meeting, PDC 330 was released for staffing on November 6, 2008 with responses due December 8, 2008.]

_____/signed_____
ELLEN HILERT
Supply PRC Chair

Approved: ____/signed/_____
DONALD C. PIPP
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office