



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

**SUBJECT: Joint DoD Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) DEDSO Supply
Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting 23-01.**

This memorandum forwards the attached minutes of the DEDSO Supply Process Review Committee providing updates on the Continuation of ADC 1244B Implementation Status.

The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office point of contact is Dr. Gail Fuller, e- mail
DEDSO.SUPPLY@dla.mil

Sarah Winegardner
Director, Defense Enterprise Data
Standards Office

Attachment:
As stated

DISTRIBUTION:
ODASD(Logistics)
SPRC Attendees

MINUTES FROM SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING 23-01, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

SUBJECT: Joint DoD Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Continuation of ADC 1244B Supply Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting 23-01

Purpose: The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) convened a virtual meeting of the DEDSO Supply Process Review Committee (PRC) via Zoom teleconference on February 21, 2024.

Meeting documentation: The meeting agenda, briefing material, and the Action Item Tracker are available on the DEDSO Supply PRC web page: <https://www.dla.mil/SupplyPRC>. **Please provide responses to open action items within 30 days of distribution by sending to email address DEDSO.SUPPLY@DLA.MIL.**

Brief Summary of Discussion:

1. Opening Remarks:

Dr. Gail Fuller, DEDSO Supply Administrator, welcomed attendees and encouraged a discussion regarding the continuation of Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1244B Implementation Status from all participants. Dawn Fiorentino-Izzi, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (ODASD), provided opening remarks and encouraged the group's continued discussion and efforts towards the Continuation of ADC 1244B Implementation Status. Dawn Fiorentino-Izzi commented, from a prior discussion with Dr. Fuller to add the Army Process Improvement (API) requirements to the implementation status tracker from the Service components along with the systems impacted by ADC 1244B, in the next meeting updates.

2. Meeting Topics:

Dr. Gail Fuller provided a brief overview of the topics to be discussed, outlined below.

a. Agenda Topic 1 – DLA ADC 1244B Implementation Strategy Updates

Christine Varner opened the discussion for DLA J345 Distribution's status updates regarding Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1244B. Christine stated that the DLA J345 Small Arms Working Group is actively ensuring all Small Arms Serialization Program (SASP), receipt, and storage requirements and processes are functioning properly. Christine provided an update that the DLAI 4140.05 has been approved, signed, and published on October 25, 2023.

The Request for Comment (RFC) DOF-C22-0087 has been submitted for SASP for Disposition Services. Christine briefed that Warehouse Management System (WMS); ADC 1244B Implementation go-live was scheduled to begin at Disposition/Distribution Anniston on 1/16/2024; however, the go-live date has been delayed tentatively for April 15, 2024. Christine asserted that Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) Implementation Convention (IC)

527R is currently being used for serialized assets for the Unique Item Tracking (UIT) program; DLA has functionality related to DLMS IC 856S and DLMS IC 856R for serialized assets.

Christine Varner briefed the next steps for DLA Small Arms (Disposition and Distribution) will be finalizing the RFCs for ADC 1244B. DLA has a target ready-for-testing date of 25 March 2024. In addition, DLAI 4140.05 has been signed, which will allow Anniston to receipt New Procurement weapons expeditiously.

It was asserted that there are additional challenges DLA faces in ensuring that the Services have connectivity with DLA and Defense Priorities and Allocation System (DPAS) for DLMS changes. Another challenge involves the interaction on how the Defense Standard System (DSS)/ Warehouse Management System (WMS) interacts with Location Last Account Serialized Item Entry (LLASIE). DLA is waiting for LLASIE requirements from the Army. Joel Yurcaba, an Army representative, asked Christine Varner to email him with any pertinent details to work through this challenge.

It was briefed that there are additional challenges with implementing sooner than the DoD, the main challenges include: issues surrounding Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) generating of the DLMS 140A on behalf of WMS, limited Transaction Codes (TRACs) during the transition period, DLMS IC 856S qualifiers not being available in WMS (Qualifier SCP and qualifier A13), and lastly that no components have been available in time for end-to-end testing. Christine Varner wrapped up the brief by summarizing that the lesson learned for DLA is how to ensure material removed from DSS is properly accounted for in WMS while being compliant with ADC 1244B.

b. Agenda Topic 2 – Army ADC 1244B Implementation Strategy Updates

Tiffanie Dew, Army Representative briefed the Army's ADC 1244B implementation strategy updates. Tiffanie stated that the Army Logistics Modernization Program (LMP) is ready to implement with exception of two tickets. The first ticket is tied back to ADC 1111 for Disposition services, and it is prioritized for the Army Audit Readiness ticket. It was briefed that the second ticket is related to DLMS IC 856R & 856S, and is tracking to be deployed; however, it should not impact implementation of ADC 1244B. Tiffanie Dew briefed status updates for the remaining Army systems, asserting that Global Combat Support System- Army (GCSS-A) is estimated to be completed by the Army Shared Service Center. It was briefed that Army Enterprise Systems Integration Program (AESIP) has been delivered with a January 30, 2024, kickoff date. In addition, Logistics Data Analysis Center (LDAC) is currently in the process of assessing and identifying impacts of 30-character serial number functionality in the registry and with trading partners.

Tiffanie Dew summarized the next steps for each system are as follows:

- **Logistics Modernization Program (LMP):**
 - Demands have been approved by Business Area Lead and will be worked into upcoming Planning Interval Sessions for implementation.
- **Global Combat Support System- Army (GCSS-A):**

- Demand is being worked into upcoming Planning Interval sessions for implementation.
- **Army Enterprise Systems Integration Program (AESIP):**
 - Test LLASIE with other services API instances.
- **Logistics Data Analysis Center (LDAC):**
 - Recommend deployment of 30-character serial number functionality when all trading partners are ready.

Tiffanie Dew asserted that the challenges faced include alignment between DLA and LMP on serialization of specific small arms/light weapons with UIT code(s) AAA and AAB.

It was stated that Headquarters of the Army Materiel Command (HQAMC) is currently working on a list of materials that will be used for data cleansing and migration efforts in preparation for the April 2024 WMS go-Live at Anniston. A challenge is needing to determine if other DLA sites carry these codes and require migration.

Tiffanie Dew briefed that some lessons learned include the importance of updating the Army and DoD policies, as well as setting timelines of new operational policies. In addition, Tiffanie recognized that the future of Army UIT programs and registries are under review, as well as funding requirements for solution enhancement/development. Tiffanie added that an additional lesson learned is understanding Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system capability support memo constraints and implementing synchronization amongst trading partners to accommodate testing and rehearsal of concept (ROC) drills. It was summarized that identifying DLMS (Defense Logistics Management Standard) mapping impacts to implementation was another lesson learned.

c. Agenda Topic 3 – Marine Corps ADC 1244B Implementation Strategy Updates

Kevin Austin briefed the Marine Corps (USMC) current ADC 1244B implementation status. Kevin asserted that the USMC is moving forward with forecasts as planned. It was stated that Global Combat Support System (GCSS-MC) subject matter experts are currently working on compliancy with ADC 1244B implementation with an estimated ready for testing date no later than December 2024 and an estimated go-live date of 2025. Kevin briefed that after ADC 1244B goes live, USMC will still utilize the Crane Marine Corps Component Registry, which has Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP). It was asserted that out of 313 established routing identifier codes (RICs) in Defense Automatic Addressing System Center Inquiry (eDAASINQ), only 37 are active Crane reportable Activity Address Codes (AACs) in Management Support and Data Analysis (MSADA), which is an 11.8% completion rate.

Kevin Austin briefed that the next steps include obtaining Application Programming Interface (API) requirements from the Army, and once the API requirements are defined and in policy, the USMC will find funding HQMC I&L establish 275+ RICs for MC DoDAACs in MSADA. It was asserted that by 2nd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2024, HQMC will facilitate meetings between Crane MC Registry and GCSS-MC Resource Sponsor to ensure compliance with ADC 1244B and all Small Arms/Light Weapons policies. Kevin briefed that by 2nd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2024, the Crane Marine Corps Registry will formulate processes with HQMC/SAEA guidance for GCSS-MC/MSADA interface. Mr. Austin asserted that additional

next steps include deploying Crane's expansion of serial number field from 11 to 30 once other services are ready, noting that Air Force and SOCOM are currently ready.

Kevin Austin briefed that the challenges the USMC faces are API requirements which were originally planned to be revealed to the services March 2023, but are now delayed, with a meeting still pending. In addition, Kevin briefed that pertaining to RICS, if RICs cannot be established, DLMS transactions will not work. Another challenge the USMC faces is GCSS-MC requiring a resource sponsor to ensure programming compliant with ADC 1244B and all Small Arms/Light Weapons policies, including implementation of all other ADCs into GCSS-MC & MSADA. Lastly, Kevin asserted that pertaining to serial numbers, fixing GCSS-MC serial numbers which do not reflect the human readable serial that is on the Small Arm/Light Weapon is a challenge faced.

Kevin Austin briefed lessons learned, that any requests that do not comply with the physical re-marking requirements within ADC 1244B must be obtained via waiver from OSD, not the Joint Small Arm Light Weapon Chair personnel. It was briefed that this includes any serial numbers with markings other than the accepted A-Z, 0-9, dash (-), and forward slash (/) when the item is being transferred from one service to another, unless it is going to the Defense Reutilization Marketing Office (DRMO) in which case it does not need to be re-marked. An additional lesson learned is that GCSS-MC programming has removed non-compliant characters from all serial numbers, including weapons not requiring a change by ADC 1244B (not leaving the service); however, the weapons have not been physically re-marked. Kevin Austin asserted that Crane still reflects the correct serial number, and as such, USMC has implemented a working group to find viable options to bring APSR, Registry and physical markings into compliance with ADC 1244B.

d. Agenda Topic 4 – Navy ADC 1244B Implementation Strategy Updates

Angie Hagemeyer briefed the Navy's current implementation status of ADC 1244B. Angie asserted that the MSADA is deemed the Navy program of record and is the official work-around within ADC 1244B until ERP is compliant. In addition, MSADA is currently testing via sending and receiving DLMS IC 856S & 527R transactions externally with WMS. It was stated that Foreign Military Sales (FMS) is prioritizing ADCs for Navy ERP Compliance, and out of 935 established RICs in eDAASINQ, 585 are active Crane reportable unique identification codes (UICs) in MSADA, which is a 62.6% completion rate.

Angie Hagemeyer briefed that the next steps include the Navy-Enterprise Resource Planning (N-ERP)/API subject matter experts' review of API requirements, which were provided on January 30, 2024. After the API requirements are in policy, Angie stated the next step will be to find funding and the NAVSUP Team will determine the correct course of action in regard to Navy DoDAACs in MSADA without a DLA approved RIC (per eDAASINQ). In addition, NAVSUP assists Crane with obtaining access to PDREP (Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program) to learn how to find Navy supply discrepancy reports (SDRs). Angie stated that once all services are ready, Crane will deploy expansion of the serial number field from 11 characters to 30; as of today, the Air Force and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) are ready however, the Army is not. Angie stated there is a current ticket pending for the DoD overlay files to be received with 30 characters.

Angie Hagemeyer briefed challenges for the Navy, that the API requirements were originally planned to be revealed to the services March 2023, but they have been delayed until January 30, 2024. In addition, Crane needs requirements stated within an ADC before funding can be requested to begin working on building API. It was briefed that another challenge is that ATO is required for API, and funding availability for ERP changes are additional challenges. Lastly, Angie asserted that programming and working with ERP Resource sponsors to ensure programming is compliant with ADC 1244B and all Small Arms/Light Weapons policies, including implementation of all other ADCs (ERP & MSADA) is a challenge; along with timeline challenges associated with FMS prioritizing ADCs for Navy ERP compliance.

Angie Hagemeyer briefed lessons learned echoed along the same lines as the Marine Corps; physically re-marking weapons that contain non-compliant characters in their current serial number then updating the Navy Registry will not be a challenge as previously thought because most of these weapons are at museums and do not fall under the ADC 1244B requirement to have non-compliant serial numbers removed because they are not moving to another service.

e. Agenda Topic 5– Air Force ADC 1244B Implementation Strategy Updates

Air Force did not have a representative to brief implementation statuses, however this information was on the slide the Air Force Forwarded to the brief. The Air Force data provided summarized that the current status of Defense Priorities and Allocations System (DPAS) being utilized as Accountable Property System of Record (APSR) and Serial number registry, and Small Arms/Light Weapons are accounted for by serial number. Next steps include Air Force determining a way forward to manage Small Arms/Light Weapons stored at Anniston Army Depot via developing logic to generate DPAS transactions based on DSS/WMS inputs and creating DPAS account for weapons stored at Anniston. The Air Force cited that challenges include ensuring DSS/WMS generates appropriate DLMS transaction(s) to update DPAS for weapons stored at Anniston Army depot. Lessons learned by the Air Force were listed as Air Force lacking proper accountability/visibility of Enterprise Small Arms/Light Weapons; although DLA maintains accountability of Air Force stored Small Arms/Light Weapons, Air Force needs to also have full visibility to validate inventory and perform reconciliations.

3. Agenda Topic 6– Wrap Up/Action Items.

Dr. Fuller thanked the participants for the great discussion and progress. Dr. Fuller highlighted the importance of communication from the group regarding what the implementation status is and if implementation can proceed in a timely manner. The next DEDSO Supply PRC is tentatively scheduled for the week of May 6, 2024, at LMI Headquarters in Tysons, Virginia.