



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
USAMC MATERIEL READINESS SUPPORT ACTIVITY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40511-5101

*Pat 3-23-92
Mella*

AMXMD-SM (310-1q)

13 MAR 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command, ATTN: SUP 0323,
Washington, DC 20376-5000

SUBJECT: Navy Rejection of Army Contractor Requisitions

1. Reference memorandum, DLSSD, DLSSD-D, 10 Feb 92, subject: DOD MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 92-1, 3-4 Dec 91, with meeting minutes attached.
2. As indicated from discussions with the Navy MILSTRIP Focal Point, the Navy may be rejecting Army contractor requisitions with DI Code AO_ and RI Code SHA or SGA in record position 74-76. Page 1 of the enclosed printout contains MILSTRIP DI Code AE_ 'CL' rejects received 10 Mar 92 from RI Code N32 for Army contractor identified requisitions which should have been received by Navy in the DI Code AO_ format. It appears that an up-front edit is still in-place which prevents Navy from processing Army contractor requisitions.
3. Page 2 of the enclosure contains additional DI Code AE_ 'CL' rejects for Navy requisitions. It appears that due to the initial edits in-place within Navy, you are unable to process your Service requisitions when an entry in record position 45 indicates a contractor Service designator although the data is not contractor related and is only significant to the requisitioner.
4. Request your immediate attention to provide Army with instructions which will enable Navy to process our contractor requisitions as a minimum interim measure until corrective action can be taken by your system design activity.
5. The POC is Mel Richards, DSN 745-4102/3893.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

GEORGE L. GILES
Chief, Supply Division

Encl

CF (w/encl):
CDR, AMC, ATTN: AMCLG-MS/AMCLG-SSP
DIR, DLSSD, ATTN: DLSSD-D

Subject: RAW DATA FOR 10 MAR 92
To: MRICHARD@lexington-emhl.army.mil
Cc: AMX LCS@SF-EMHL.ARMY.MIL

ENCLOSED IS RAW DATA FOR 10 MAR 92
FOR DIC EQ 'AE' 'EX'
STATUS EQ 'CL' 'DB' 'DJ' 'DN' 'DQ' 'DR'
NUMBER OF RECORDS: 99
JOB ID: R125601
LCA POC: JENY BRIZGALOFF

START
1 3/10/92

PAGE 1

SUMMARY REPORT BY DIC FOR
RAW INPUT DATA WITH DIC AE AND AX AND
STATUS CODES CL, DB, DJ, DN, DQ AND DR
RUN DATE: 3/10/92 TAPE DATE: 92 MAR10

	DIC	STAT	TALLY
0	XE1	CL	13
	XE1	DR	3
	XE1		16
0	XE2	CL	29
	XE2	DR	5
	XE2		34
0	XE3	CL	45
	XE3	DB	2
	XE3	DR	2
	XE3		49
0			99

1 3/10/92

PAGE 1

SUMMARY REPORT BY STATUS CODE FOR
RAW INPUT DATA WITH DIC AE AND AX AND
STATUS CODES CL, DB, DJ, DN, DQ AND DR
RUN DATE: 3/10/92 TAPE DATE: 92 MAR10

	STAT	DIC	TALLY
0	CL	XE1	13
	CL	XE2	29
	CL	XE3	45
	CL		87
0	DB	XE3	2
	DB		2
0	DR	XE1	3
	DR	XE2	5
	DR	XE3	2
	DR		10
0			99

1 3/10/92

DETAIL RECORDS FOR 92 MAR10

<u>XE3N32M2925004417073</u>	<u>EA00001C1GCL820660101</u>	<u>W61DEVBTK</u>	<u>06069CLN32</u>
<u>XE2N32M2925004417073</u>	<u>EA00001C1GCL820660101</u>	<u>W61DEVBTK</u>	<u>06069CLN32</u>
<u>XE3N32S3040011629450</u>	<u>EA00001W23A6C20694002</u>	<u>CN0CG0JFG</u>	<u>A0G02069CLN32</u>

ARMY RQNS
↓

DETAIL RECORDS FOR 10 MAR 92

XE3GSAU8030002758114	PT00001CA0G642023M027	W45NSUKTK	06067CLGSA	000010
XE2GSAU8030002758114	PT00001CA0G642023M027	W45NSUKTK	06067CLGSA	000010
XE1FFZK6110010653716	EA00001CNOCG012030012	W80QJKKFG	FBZ05069DRFFZ2069004359	
XE1FFZK6110005982567	EA00002CNOCG012264001	CNOCGOAFG	FBZ02069DRFFZ2069002979	
XE1FFZK6110005982567	EA00002CNOCG013124004	CNOCGOAFG	FBZ02069DRFFZ2069002979	
XE2S9CM2510011546906	EA00001CA0AP420090014	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9C	001558
XE2S9CM2510011493465	EA00001CA0AP420090023	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9C	000628
XE2S9CM2510011475576	EA00001CA0AP420090024	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9C	000954
XE2S9CM2930011659595	AY00001CA0AP420090026	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9C	000876
XE3S9E014933	EA00010WMIQ6011411403	E80YY9CIVG	05069CLS9E	
XE3NDZT4730001421958	EA00020R071982064P038	CPOS2 AKZ	9CEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT4730001421958	EA00020R071982064P038	CPOS2 AKZ	9CEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5975001944998	EA00010R071982064P036	CPOS2 AKZ	9GEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5975001944998	EA00010R071982064P036	CPOS2 AKZ	9GEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5975006820559	EA00010R071982064P037	CPOS2 AKZ	9GEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5975006820559	EA00010R071982064P037	CPOS2 AKZ	9GEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5120002339482	EA00003R071982064P039	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5120002339482	EA00003R071982064P039	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT8135001607762	RO00004R071982064P022	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT8135001607762	RO00004R071982064P022	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5210002630376	EA00002R071982064P023	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5210002630376	EA00002R071982064P023	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5440005144485	EA00002R071982064P024	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5440005144485	EA00002R071982064P024	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5110007196061	EA00002R071982064P032	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5110007196061	EA00002R071982064P032	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5310008892763	BX00002R071982064P044	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5310008892763	BX00002R071982064P044	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT8010009262135	KT00002R071982064P047	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT8010009262135	KT00002R071982064P047	CPOS2 AKZ	9QEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3NDZT5320014089928	HD00010R071982064P018	CPOS2 AKZ	9ZEP515069CLNDZ	
XE1NDZT5320014089928	HD00010R071982064P018	CPOS2 AKZ	9ZEP515069CLNDZ	
XE3B16K5999010659011	EA00002CN0AJ420580017	W80QJKLPC	13069CLB16	020360
XE1B16K5999010659011	EA00002CN0AJ420580017	W80QJKLPC	13069CLB16	020360
XE3B17T8145002881397	EA00008W58H0Z2058A505	CHOG7JJD9E	03069DBB17	000787
XE3B17T8145002881397	EA00008W58H0Z2035A501	CHOG7JJD9E	03069DBB17	000787
XE3S9GM6220011604247	EA00004CA0AP420450011	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9G	000023
XE2S9GM6220011604247	EA00004CA0AP420450011	W16BCTKTK	13069DRS9G	000023
XE3MPB85999010659011	EA00002CN0AJ420580017	W80QJKLPCP	13068CLMPB	
XE3MPB05120011695300	EA00001CK304211642318	W81D5MLWVV	12068CLMPB	
XE3S9EY5920011357677	EA00010CGAD6F13460132	W36QP5BFGT	12068DR	
EZTR003	99 INPUT	VFM FIX BLK		80

NAVY RQNS



LCA CONTACT DSN586-5801 CM415-561-5801 AMXLC-S
 #5950
 10539
 NNNN

JENY BRIZGALOFF

*Plaf 6-2-92
Frolle*



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
DEFENSE AUTOMATIC ADDRESSING SYSTEM OFFICE
GENTILE STATION, 1080 FRANKLIN STREET
DAYTON, OH 45444-5320



IN REPLY REFER TO: DAAS-VL (VLL/Mr. Strickler/DSN 986-6395/Ext 295/lm) 21 May 92

SUBJECT: DoD MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 92-1,
December 3-4, 1991

TO: DLSSD-D

1. Reference Minutes: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 92-1, December 3-4, 1991.
2. In accordance with paragraph II.C.6.a. of the above reference, the Committee proposed that DAAS use the processing rules established for the specific non-contractor Service code as identified in rp 30 or 45 for joint-service requisitions. DAASO was to evaluate the feasibility of this approach. As a result of this evaluation many problem areas surfaced that would make this difficult if not impossible to accomplish.
 - a. Air Force GFM logic checks rp 4-6 of contractor requisitions for a valid Air Force MCA RIC. If a valid MCA RIC is present, rp 54-56 is overlaid with the corresponding distribution field entry provided by the Air Force. This could not be done if the service code in rp 30 was other than Air Force because the resulting distribution field entry would no longer represent an Air Force activity. If this field is not overlaid, will the Air Force have problems processing the transaction? It is believed the Air Force checks rp 54-56 prior to delivery to MCA.
 - b. Army GFM logic will automatically validate requisitions when the contractor code is other than one of the "C" activities described in Appendix D1. This would result in the automatic validation of all joint-service requisitions where Army is the non-contractor Service code used to determine processing rules.
 - c. Navy GFM logic checks for a date in rp 74-75 of the requisition. Requisitions without a date in this field are rejected even if there is a valid Navy MCA in rp 4-6. The Navy is the only service that requires this entry. There is an assumption here that a contractor doing business with more than one service using the same contractor DoDAAC is going to be able to format each one differently. Can we assume this is practical when contractors within services have difficulty? Does DLA want to change its program logic to apply Navy date check for rp 74-75 for joint-service requisitions?
 - d. Current DAAS processing passes all "AX1" transactions through a single routine. Joint-service transactions would require a separate routine for each service. Current DAAS program validates Army contractor transactions if there is no MCA RIC in rp 74-76. If DAAS is applying Air Force logic then this would not occur but program would go on to check rp 54 for MCA. This returns us to the question in 2.a., what is going to be in rp 54?


21 May 92

e. Are DLA and other service/agencies ready to change internal programs to recognize the joint-service concept so that processing is compatible with DAAS? Currently DLA will produce an "AX1" transaction for Navy contractor requisitions if rp 74-75 is not less than or equal to "90". How will this be accomplished for an Air Force contractor code in rp 45 with Navy in rp 30?

3. The idea of processing joint-service requisitions based on the non-contractor service code does not appear to be a workable method of handling the problem. The existing DAAS logic used to process GFM transactions is already complicated and difficult to maintain and the introduction of this additional edit cannot be done effectively.

4. As an alternate solution for processing joint-service requisitions it is suggested that after the current DAAS GFM service/agency processing has been performed, DAAS will check the RIC in rp 4-6 for ANY valid MCA RIC before rejecting "CL". If rp 4-6 is equal to ANY valid MCA then DAAS will pass to the RIC in rp 4-6. This would allow joint-service requisitions to pass to another service/agency MCA. Transactions coming out of the MCA would then contain the appropriate MCA RIC in rp 74-76 for the validation process. This requires a minimal change to DAAS programming.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:


ALVIN G. ARMSTRONG
Acting Deputy for Logistics
Defense Automatic Addressing
System Office