



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221

May 31, 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Joint DoD Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) Supply Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting.

This memorandum forwards the attached minutes of the Supply Process Review Committee providing updates on the Supply Summit and continuation of Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1224B Implementation Strategy Status.

The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office point of contact is Dr. Gail Fuller, DEDSO.Supply@dla.mil.

WINEGARDNER.SA
RAH.C.1364985327

Digitally signed by
WINEGARDNER.SARAH.C.136498
5327
Date: 2024.06.05 09:19:29 -04'00'

Sarah Winegardner
Director,
Defense Enterprise Data Standards
Office

Attachment:
As stated

cc:
ODASD(Logistics)
SPRC Attendees
Small Arms/Light Weapons



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

MINUTES FROM SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MAY 5, 2024

SUBJECT: Joint DoD Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Continuation of Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1244B Supply Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting 23-01

Purpose: The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) hosted a virtual and in-person conference via Microsoft Zoom on May 9, 2024, to convene the DEDSO PRC.

Meeting documentation. The meeting agenda, briefing material, and the Action Item Tracker can be accessed on the DEDSO Supply PRC web page: <https://www.dla.mil/SupplyPRC>.

Responses to open action items should be submitted within 30 days of distribution to the email address DEDSO.Supply@DLA.MIL.

Brief Summary of Discussion

- 1. Opening Remarks.** Dr. Gail Fuller, DEDSO Supply Administrator, extended a warm welcome to all attendees and urged them to engage in discussions concerning the Supply DLMS Summit and the ongoing status of ADC 1244B Implementation. Gail elaborated on the Mission and Vision of the DEDSO, introduced the Supply Team, and delineated their respective roles and focus areas within the team.
- 2. Meeting Topics.** Dr. Gail Fuller offered a concise preview of the upcoming discussion topics, as outlined below.

a. Agenda Topic 1 – Communication Strategy

Steven Nace, DEDSO Supply Administrator, emphasized the importance of effective communication and the need for increased collaboration across the DLMS Supply Community. DEDSO provided both virtual and in-person attendees with information on the structure of the DEDSO Supply Team; strategies to increase working group meeting frequency and establish collaboration tools; and updates on Proposed DLMS Changes (PDCs) currently being coordinated.

b. Agenda Topic 2 – Draft PDC 1472 Procedures for Correcting / Adjusting Ownership Changes After Goods Receipt

Rafael Gonzalez, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Agency Headquarters (HQ) J345, Inventory Management, presented a draft PDC aimed at establishing a standardized and auditable process for rectifying or adjusting ownership of materiel received by DLA Distribution Centers. Moving forward, J345 Inventory Management has initiated the formation of a cross-functional working group internally, collaborating with J82, the Special Operations Independent Duty Corpsman Program Management Officer (SOIDC PMO), and DLA Distribution to:

- Define Ownership Change.
- Identify current procedures and any existing gaps.
- Establish a standard policy and procedure for the Department of Defense (DoD).
- Develop Draft PDC 1472 to address concerns related to ownership changes.

Overview. This amendment introduces additional guidance and procedures for correcting, adjusting, or changing ownership of materiel housed at a DLA Warehouse as follows:

- DoD Components will be granted a 90-day window to request a change in ownership for materiel received at a DLA Distribution Center without the requisite pre-positioned materiel receipt (PMR) or documentation.
- To expedite resolution, DoD Components will be empowered to refuse/reject a receipt, inquire about an outstanding receipt, and receive automated updates regarding the status of their shipment.
- The revisions outlined in the PDC aim to tackle (4) Audit Notice of Findings and Recommendations (NFRs): 2021- Service-Owned Inventory in DLA Custody (SOIDC)-01, FIN-2021-WCF-018, FIN-2023-WCF-020, and NFR FIN-2020-WCF-082.

Main Changes. Define and establish the following terms regarding ownership change as follows:

- **Receipt Correction** - This entails revising one or more data elements collected incorrectly at the time of goods receipt. Corrections are not permissible after 24 hours from the date of goods receipt.
- **Receipt Adjustment** - Receipt adjustment denotes the transfer of materiel from one materiel owner to another without a physical shipment. Materiel must be stowed before any changes are made. The storage activity can only execute a receipt adjustment on behalf of a DoD component to rectify ownership within 90 days from the receipt date, in response to a request from the materiel owner.

- Introduce a new process termed “**Late Prepositioned Materiel Receipt (PMR)**”. A late PMR occurs when the inbound 527D transaction arrives at the storage activity warehousing system of record after goods receipt.
- Implement the receipt inquiry/follow-up process within the Warehouse Management System (WMS).
- Introduce several advice codes to facilitate responses to receipt inquiries.
- Enable the rejection/cancellation of a receipt by the materiel owner using the materiel receipt transaction.
- Add multiple reject advice codes to support the rejection/cancellation of a receipt.
- Mandate the inclusion of the Original Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) number for any ownership change request.
- Incorporate qualifier NN – Nonconformance Report Number (originating system SDR).
- Maintain all transactions executed to reverse or correct materiel ownership for evidential purposes and to identify any credits/debits owed to the original and future materiel owner.
- Implement a new transaction type code in the Materiel Release Order (MRO) transaction to accurately distinguish Ship-in-Place MROs from standard release orders.

c. **Agenda Topic 3 – PDC’s/ADCs in the Pipeline Updates**

Wesley Best delivered an overview of the PDC/ADC’s currently in the pipeline, highlighting three PDC’s under development. Wesley provided an update that on May 3, 2024, ADC 1469 was submitted for signatures, although an issue arose, which will be prioritized immediately after the Summit. Wesley Best showcased the most recent ADCs published within the past year.

ADC 1469. This update modifies the DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support transaction by incorporating new qualifiers to identify the transaction reference number (document number) and transaction data. This qualifier will be mandatory when the DLMS 832N is originated by a contractor. As of May 10, 2024, ADC 1469 is awaiting signature from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD).

PDC 1470. This update integrates new data elements and notes into the DLMS to support the Air Force (AF) Stock Control System’s (SCS) transition of MRO capabilities

from the current A5E direct connect interface to the DLMS 940R. This transition necessitates the inclusion of specific new data elements.

PDC 1471. The Government Furnished Property (GFP) module application within the Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE) manages all cases of property loss and plant clearance when government property is under the custody of contractors. Complete list of DEDSO PDC/ADCs can be viewed at the following:
<https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Resources/ADC>

d. Agenda Topic 4 – ADC 1244B Updates from the DLA

Christine Varner, DLA Asset Manager and J345 Representative, initiated the discussion for DLA J345 Distribution's status updates regarding ADC 1244B Implementation. Christine Varner presented a quad chart outlining the status, highlighting the WMS/1244 Implementation Go Live initially scheduled for Disposition and Distribution (DD) Anniston and Disposition Services (DS) Anniston Demilitarization (DEMIL) on 1/16/2024 (delayed), with User Acceptance Testing (UAT) commencing on 4/01/2024 and the Go-live date to be determined. The next steps involve finalizing the Request for Change (RFC) for DLA Distribution and DLA Disposition for ADC 1244B Implementation. Their challenges include connectivity with DLA and the Defense Priorities and Allocation System (DPAS) for DLMS changes, and Distribution Standard System (DSS/WMS) interaction with Location Last Account Serialized Item Entry (LLASIE). Christine Varner concluded the briefing by summarizing the lessons learned for DLA, focusing on ensuring that material removed from DSS is properly accounted for in WMS while remaining compliant with ADC 1244B Implementation.

e. Agenda Topic 5 – ADC 1244B Updates from the Army

Eric Kelly, Deputy Chief of Staff (DCS-G4), Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA), Army Representative, opened discussions on Army status updates regarding ADC 1244B Implementation. Eric Kelly provided insights into the current status, highlighting the completion of Demand 9006, while other demands in Logistics Modernization Program (LMP) are in the queue for completion scheduled in October 2024 and March 2025. However, a current challenge arises from the delay in the Defense Distribution in Anniston due to issues with the WMS. Headquarters of the Army Materiel Command (HQAMC) has initiated efforts by submitting a ticket to support data migration. The next steps involve testing within key systems including LMP, Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-Army), Army Enterprise System Integration Program (AESIP), and Logistic Data Analysis Center (LDAC). Challenges cited by the Army encompass updating Army and DoD policies, establishing timelines for new operational policies, determining the future of Unique Item Tracking (UIT) programs, and finding funding solutions.

Eric Kelly provided a summary of the current status for the systems as follows:

- LMP: Approved requirements to work/completed.

- Demand (DMND)11008 – Turn-In Receipt Acknowledgments against shipments to DLA Disposition Services. Priority for Army Audit Readiness. Estimate Completion Date (ECD) 17MAR25.
- DMND 10979 – Implement ownership Routing Identifier Code (RIC) qualifier for outbound shipment confirmations. In queue for processing. No ECD available at this time but will not hinder the 1244B implementation.
- DMND 9006 – Outbound shipment status sent to DLA for material moved from Organic Industrial Base (OIB) to DLA storage activity. Completed.
- GCSS-Army:
 - Change (CHG) 38199 – Sustainment Enhancement. Planning interval prioritization underway. Targeted for processing for OCT 24 release.
- AESIP:
 - JAN 24 – Application, referred to as: WELL Application Programming Interface (API) documentation kickoff with service Accountable Property System of Record (APSR) Point of Contacts (POCs).
 - FEB 24 – LLASIE status briefing to Army leadership.
 - Currently internal Army testing in process.
- LDAC:
 - Identify impacts of 30-character serial number functionality on registry and trading partners. Currently in-process.

Eric Kelly outlined the subsequent actions for the system as follows:

- LMP. Demands have been approved by the Business Area Lead and will be integrated into upcoming Planning Interval Sessions for implementation.
- GCSS-A. Demand is being incorporated into forthcoming Planning Interval sessions for implementation.
- AESIP. Test LLASIE with other service WELL API instances.
- LDAC. Recommendation. Deploy the 30-character serial number functionality once all trading partners are prepared.

Eric Kelly emphasized that challenges include aligning DLA and LMP on serialization of specific small arms/light weapons with the UIT designator code(s) AAA, AAB, AAK, all UIT to be addressed. Eric also mentioned that Headquarters of the HQAMC is presently compiling a list of materials for data cleansing and migration efforts in preparation for the April 2024 WMS Go-Live in Anniston. (WMS deployment has been delayed).

- RITM0157779 – LMP ticket to facilitate migration efforts for Unique Item Tracking Designator Codes: AAA and AAB serial numbers in preparation for Djibouti, Africa (DDAA) go-live.

A challenge involves determining if other DLA sites possess these codes and necessitate migration.

Eric Kelly concluded the briefing with lessons learned, emphasizing the significance of updating Army and DoD policies, along with establishing timelines for new operational policies. Furthermore, Eric acknowledged that the future of Army UIT programs and registries is under review, along with funding requirements for solution enhancements/development. Additionally, an important lesson learned is understanding Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system capability support memo constraints and implementing synchronization among trading partners to accommodate testing and rehearsal concept (ROC) drills. In summary, the focus is on identifying DLMS mapping.

f. Agenda Topic 6 – ADC 1244B Updates from the Marines

Kevin Austin, Logistics Management Specialist at the United States Marine Corps (USMC), initiated the discussion for the USMC to offer status updates regarding ADC 1244B Implementation. The Marine Corps provided a summary of the current statuses as follows:

USMC APSR Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) and Resource Sponsors are examining the programming required to adhere to ADC 1244B and all Small Arms/Light Weapons (SA/LW) policy.

- If **CANNOT** be ready for testing NLT Dec 2024 & Ready for Go-Live by TBD 2025, will utilize Crane Marine Corp (MC) Registry as work-around like the Navy is doing while N-ERP programmed.
- If **CAN**, USMC will continue to utilize Crane as the MC Component Registry to operate in parallel with GCSS-MC.* (see next steps).

Kevin Austin outlined the next steps for the system as follows:

- Validate the status of MC APSRs compliance.

- Execute API for each MC APSR system (requirements provided by the Army on 30 JAN 2023).
- HQMC Installation & Logistics (I&L) establish 275+ RICs for MC Department of Defense Activity Address Codes (DoDAACs) in Management Support and Data Analysis (MSADA) without DLA approved RIC.
- *Meet with Crane MC Registry SMEs to formulate processes/programming for MC APSRs/MSADA interface.
 - Inter-Service only or both inter & intra?
 - Discuss how affects memory/cost and registry workflow.

Kevin Austin briefed on the challenges for the USMC system as follows:

- Authorization to Operate (ATO) – Needed for API (additional time & funding required).
- RICs – RICs must be established to be compliant with ADC 1244B. Out of 313 established RICs in Defense Automatic Addressing System Center Inquiry (eDAASINQ), only 37 are active Crane reportable Activity Address System Codes (AACs) in MSADA (11.8% complete).
- API – Does API need to be stated in specific policy prior to MC obtaining funding?
- Programming – Determining status of compliancy.

Kevin Austin briefed on a lesson learned: GCSS-MC programming removed non-compliant characters from all serial numbers in its system, including weapon serials that were not required to be changed by ADC 1244B. These weapons have not been physically re-marked. Crane still reflects the correct serial number. Consequently, there is a discrepancy between GCSS-MC and Crane. MSADA has been programmed to include the GCSS-MC serial as a reference beside the correct serial until GCSS-MC can be corrected to reflect the true serial on the weapon.

Any request that does not comply with the physical re-marking requirements within ADC 1244B must be obtained via waiver from the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), not the Joint Small Arms Light Weapons Working Group (JSALWCG) Chair. This includes any serial numbers with markings other than the accepted A-Z, 0-9, dash (-), and forward slash (/) when the item is being transferred from one service to another, unless it is going to Defense Reutilization Marketing Office (DRMO), in which case it does not need to be re-marked.

g. Agenda Topic 7 – ADC 1244B Updates from the Navy/MSADA

Angie Hagemeyer, Naval Service Warfare Center (NSWC) Crane Technical Specialist, MSADA, initiated the discussion for MSADA/Navy status updates regarding Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1244B Implementation. MSADA/Navy summarized the current statuses, indicating that the Navy Registry will experience delayed implementation due to Automated Information System (AIS) constraints. However, Crane Registry will proceed with the implementation of DLMS 856S and 527R to facilitate progress for other Components. The Marine Corps Registry is awaiting detailed Marine Corps compliance status to ascertain whether MSADA needs to be utilized similarly to the Navy. Programming for 856S/527R transactions is finalized, and testing for these transactions is complete.

Angie Hagemeyer briefed that the next steps include deploying the s/n field from 11 to 30 once other services are prepared, continuing to test various scenarios for 856S and 527R transactions, and familiarizing with the SDR /Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP) processes. MSADA/Navy cited challenges in establishing RICs for all reportable DODAACs in MSADA, identifying additional transactions for MSADA to incorporate alongside 856S and 527R, and holding API programming and testing pending funding and approval by higher-level Navy direction.

Angie Hagemeyer concluded the briefing by summarizing lessons learned by MSADA/Navy, which included the time-intensive nature of testing efforts between services, the necessity for DAAS to set up test UICs, the requirement for the International Standardization Agreement (ISA) header GS8 to be a 4030 (not present in Implementation Convention (IC)), the need for a signal code LQDEJ (not a "must-use" in IC), and the requirement that requests to non-comply with ADC 1244B requirements for physically re-marking stamped serial numbers on SA/LW for shipments from one service to another (excluding DRMO) must be obtained via waiver from the OSD, rather than from the JSALWCG Chair.

h. Agenda Topic 8 – ADC 1244B Updates from the Air Force

The Air Force (AF) did not have a representative available to brief the ADC 1244B Implementation statuses; however, this information was included on the slide forwarded by the Air Force to the briefing attendees. The Air Force data summarized that the status of the DPAS being utilized as the APSR and Serial number registry, with Small Arms/Light Weapons accounted for by serial number. There is currently no visibility of weapons stored at Anniston Army Depot. Next steps include the Air Force determining a path forward for management. The Air Force Small Arms Program Office (SAPO) is to be designated as the AF SA/LW serial number registry manager. The DPAS Intermediate Materiel Management (IMM) module will be utilized to gain visibility and monitor the movement of SA/LW at Anniston Army Depot. Initial Operational Capability (IOC) for the IMM Module Spiral one capability will commence in late summer of 2024. IMM acceptance testing is to be determined. IMM will offer retail/wholesale asset visibility of AF-owned material in DSS/WMS.

The Air Force highlighted challenges, which include developing a method/capability to attain visibility of SA/LW stored at Anniston Army Depot, including movement and disposal. A lesson learned is that the Air Force lacked proper accountability/visibility of Enterprise SA/LW and Anniston Army Depot.

i. Wrap Up/Action Items

Dr. Gail Fuller expressed gratitude to the participants for the valuable discussion and progress. Gail underscored the significance of communication within the group concerning the new communication strategy. The Supply PRC will transition to bi-weekly meetings every other Wednesday from 0900-1000, with the first dry run scheduled for June 5, 2024, and the initial kick-off set for July 10, 2024.