AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT					D CODE	PAGE OF	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0020	3. E		4. REQUISITION/PURC	ASE REQ. NO). 5. PROJECT	NO. (If appl	(Scable)
			7. ADMINISTERED BY	f other than Hem	6) CODE		
DLA Troop Support, Directorate o 700 Robbins Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111 POC: Linda L. Ford / FTA / 215-7	f Subsistence						,
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRAC	TOR No. str	eet, county, State and Z	IP Code)	ISA. AMEN	DMENT OF SOLE	CITATION I	NO.
v. minus real resolution of continuoron yes, erect, county, seem and air county					00-11-R-0005 0 (SEE ITEM 11)		
•				1	rober 2010		
				TEA. MOD	IFICATION OF CO	ONTRACIA	ORDER NO.
CODE	i sac	CILITY CODE		108. DATI	D (SEE ITEM 13)	
			AMENDMENTS OF	SOI ICITAT	PAGE		
DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEPT OF OFFICE desire to change an offer already submitted, is and is received prior to the opening hour and of 12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (R	ich change may ate specified. Frequired)	be made by telegrium or left	ter, provided each telegram	or letter makes n	Perence to the solici		
IT MO	DIFIES THE	CONTRACT/ORD	DIFICATIONS OF C DER NO. AS DESCI	RIBED IN IT	EM 14.		
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUE THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN		TO: (Specify authority)	THE CHANGES SET F	ORTH IN ITEM	14 ARE MADE IN	•	
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTI appropriation date, etc.) SET FOR	TH IN ITEM 14	, PURSUANT TO THE			S (such as chang	ges in payin	g office,
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEM PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF	:				,		
D. OTHER (Specify type of modificat	on and author	rry)					
	_		ument and return ONE		o the laculing offic		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENOMENT/MC This amendment is applicable to 2 approach for evaluating experience	Zone l (Bahr	ain, Qatar, and Saudi	Arabia) only. The pu	rpose of this	amendment is to		*
The Government does not anticipa	ate that revis	ed proposals are nece	ssary as a result of thi	s amendment			
Offerors agree to extend the perio amendment before close of busins Officer no later than COB Februar	ess (COB) on	nce of previously sub February 26, 2013.	unitted offers for an a Written questions rela	dditional 120 ted to this an	days by acknow endment are do	vledgment se to the C	of this ontracting
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditi	ons of the docum	nent referenced in Rem 3A (or 10A, as heretofore change	es, remains unch	inged and in full forc	ce and effect.	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Ty	pe or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE	OF SIGNER (Type or print)		
			LINDA L. FORD,	Contracting	Officer		
158. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	168. UNITED STATES BY	OF AMERICA		16C. DAT	E SIGNED

This amendment revises certain Instructions to Offerors and Evaluation Criteria for Commercial Items under Factor II, Experience/Past Performance.

On page 166 of the solicitation, Addendum to FAR 52.212-1 (continued), revise the heading for (ii) Factor II – Experience/Past Performance to read as follows:

(ii) Factor II – Experience/Past Performance – Applicable to Zone II (United Arab Emirates (UAE), Oman, Djibouti, and Kenya) only.

On page 168 of the solicitation, Addendum to FAR 52.212-1 (continued), below paragraph D. Ability One Support Past Performance, add the following:

(ii) Factor II – Experience/Past Performance – Applicable to Zone I (Bahrain, Qatar, and Saudi Arabia) only. Note: Differences between this paragraph and the paragraph (ii) above which is now applicable to Zone II only are identified **in bold**.

For purposes of evaluation only, Offerors that are proposing a joint venture, partnership or a teaming approach should provide experience and past performance information on EACH team member of the party or the joint venture or partnership including proportional allocation of performance duties (i.e., when each of these entities acted alone or as members of other teams/joint ventures). However, the most relevant experience and past performance data, and that which will receive the most credit, is the information directly related to the offering entity. Additionally, Offerors should provide documentation of any joint venture that exists. You may also provide information related to key subcontractors, parent corporations, or other affiliates that will perform essential functions of the contract.

Provide the following information for subfactor A (Experience), element 1 (Size and Complexity) and/or subfactor B (Past Performance):

Provide a brief performance record of up to five (5) of your highest dollar value or most comparable Prime Vendor / Regular Dealer contracts, for the prime contractor and major subcontractors (the "selected contracts"), whether they are commercial or Government contracts with similar size and complexity (e.g., 85%-100% of the estimated dollar value, number of delivery stops, number of delivery orders, etc.) of requirements as those found in this solicitation. Specify which contracts you consider to be the most successful and why. Include any problems that you have encountered during the performance of the respective contracts and what steps were taken to resolve the problems, along with their resolutions. Address the following for each of the contracts:

- i. Distribution facility & location;
- ii. Contract/Account identifier;
- iii. Contracting Officer, if federal Government contract;
- iv. Annual Dollar Value;
- v. Length of time that the account has been serviced;

Amendment 0020

- vi. Fill rate, listed monthly for the most recent 12 month period preceding the solicitation issue date, with and without substitutions;
- vii. Number of customer delivery points (stops);
- viii. Average number of delivery stops made per week;
- ix. Number of line items/SKUs on the ordering catalog;
- x. Average number of orders placed per week;
- xi. Average order dollar value;
- xii. Average total number of order line items per week;
- xiii. Points of contact (Name, E-mail address and Phone number);
- xiv. Problems/resolutions

Not all information submitted by the Offeror is required for evaluation under both subfactor A (Experience), element 1 (Size and Complexity) and subfactor B (Past Performance. Offerors are cautioned that the Government may use data provided in the offeror's proposal and data obtained from other sources.

Subfactor A: Experience

Element 1 (Size and complexity). No additional information requested. The following information requested above will be considered for this subfactor:

- i. Distribution facility & location;
- ii. Contract/Account identifier;
- iii. Contracting Officer, if federal Government contract;
- iv. Annual Dollar Value;
- v. Length of time that the account has been serviced;
- vi. Number of customer delivery points (stops);
- vii. Average number of delivery stops made per week;
- viii. Number of line items/SKUs on the ordering catalog;
- ix. Average number of orders placed per week;
- x. Average order dollar value; and
- xi. Average total number of order line items per week;

Element 2 (Key Personnel). **Provide** an organizational/management chart of the firm(s) that will identify key personnel who are responsible for the day-to-day management, and overall success, of the Prime Vendor program. Please do not submit corporate charts, unless the people listed are directly involved in the performance of the proposed contract. Identify the key personnel, or the positions of personnel to be assigned, who will be key to the day-to-day management, and overall success of the Prime Vendor program. Discuss your key personnel's experience with Prime Vendor and/or Government contracts and/or discuss your key personnel's experience with commercial food accounts. Multiple upper management who are involved in daily operations will be rated more favorably.

Subfactor B: Past Performance. No additional information needs to be submitted separately for this subfactor. The following information requested above will be considered for this subfactor:

- i. Contract/Account identifier;
- ii. Contracting Officer, if federal Government contract;
- iii. Fill rate, listed monthly for the most recent 12 month period preceding the solicitation issue date, with and without substitutions;
- iv. Points of contact (Name, E-mail address and Phone number);
- v. Problems/resolutions.

Offerors are advised, however, that the Government intends to request Performance Questionnaires from each contract reference to determine the customer's satisfaction with the offeror's performance regarding supply chain management, warehouse operations, distribution/transportation, data/information management, implementation/transition performance, financial capability, etc.

Updated Performance Questionnaires will be requested from an offeror's previously submitted Points of Contact to obtain data about the offeror's more recent performance.

Subfactor C: Socioeconomic Considerations Past Performance. If an offeror has had any Government contracts, including, but not limited to any of its top selected contracts, the offeror must submit information regarding its performance against socioeconomic (i.e., Small Business) goals as part of its socioeconomic considerations past performance information. Furnish the following information for all Government contracts:

- i. Contracting Agency
- ii. Contract Number
- iii. Contracting Officer (Name, E-mail address and Phone number)
- iv. Total Contract Dollar Value
- v. Socioeconomic performance, to include * subcontracting goals and actual socioeconomic subcontracting numbers for the most recent 12 month period preceding the solicitation issue date;

Note: If an offeror has not had any contracts that contain socioeconomic requirements, the offeror should include a statement to that effect and an explanation why that is the case.

^{*}Subcontracting goals, as a percentage of total contract dollar value, that were subcontracted to small, small disadvantaged, veteran owned, service-disabled veteranowned, woman owned, and HubZone small business concerns.

Subfactor D: Ability One Support Past Performance. If an offeror has had any Government contracts, including, but not limited to any of its top selected contracts, the offeror must submit information regarding its performance against Ability One (formerly JWOD) goals as part of its Ability One support past performance information. Furnish the following information for all Government contracts:

- i. Contracting Agency
- ii. Contract Number
- iii. Contracting Officer (Name, E-mail address and Phone number)
- iv. Total Contract Dollar Value
- v. Ability One performance, to include goals, as a percentage of total contract dollar value, and actual Ability One numbers for the most recent 12 month period preceding the solicitation issue date:

Note: If an offeror has not had any contracts that contain Ability One (formerly JWOD) requirements, the offeror should include a statement to that effect and an explanation why that is the case.

On page 185 of the solicitation, Addendum to FAR 52.212-2 (continued), revise the heading for Factor II – Experience/Past Performance to read as follows:

Factor II – Experience/Past Performance - Applicable to Zone II (United Arab Emirates (UAE), Oman, Djibouti, and Kenya) only.

On page 186 of the solicitation, Addendum to FAR 52.212-2 (continued), below paragraph D. Ability One (formerly JWOD) Support Past Performance, add the following:

Factor II – Experience/Past Performance – Applicable to Zone I (Bahrain, Qatar, and Saudi Arabia) only. Note: Differences between this paragraph and the paragraph for Factor II – Experience/Past Performance above which is now applicable to Zone II only are identified in **bold**.

The purpose of subfactor A (Experience), element 1 (Size and Complexity) is to compare the size and complexity of an offeror's relevant contracts to the solicited requirement. The purpose of subfactor B (Past Performance) is to assess an offeror's record of performance under their relevant contracts.

Offerors are advised that only select information will be evaluated under the applicable subfactor. Not all information submitted by the Offeror will be evaluated under each and every subfactor.

The Government will evaluate each element and subfactor under Factor II – Experience/Past Performance and assign an adjectival rating for each.

When evaluating Experience and Past Performance, the offeror's written proposal, relevant Government (in-house) records, and the information provided by the points of

contact or references designated by the offeror will be taken into account. Government (in-house) records will be considered more reliable than information derived from other sources. This assessment will also be performed for any partner that will perform in support of the proposed contract.

The Government will perform an integrated assessment of the offeror's individual house experience and past performance. This assessment will also be performed for any partner(s) or joint venture(s) that will perform in support of the proposed contract including proportional allocation of performance duties (i.e., when each of these entities acted alone or as members of other teams/joint ventures). However, the most relevant experience and past performance data, and that which will receive the most credit, is the information directly related to the offering entity.

This integrated assessment will be performed by combining the evaluations from each subfactor (and element) under Factor II, Experience/Past Performance into an overall Factor II, Experience/Past Performance rating. Consequently, the subfactor A (Experience), element 1 (Size and Complexity) and subfactor B (Past Performance) discussed above, will be combined in the integrated assessment with the other subfactors of Factor II to determine an overall Factor II, Experience/Past Performance rating.

The subfactors A and B for Experience/Past Performance are of equal importance to each other and of greater importance than subfactors C and D, which are of equal importance to each other.

The Government will evaluate the following subfactors (and elements) under Factor II:

Subfactor A: Experience

Element 1 (Size and Complexity) – The Government will evaluate the Offeror's experience with contracts of a similar size and complexity (e.g., 85%-100% of the estimated dollar value, number of delivery stops, number of delivery orders, etc.). Contracts of greater size and complexity will be viewed more favorably, while contracts of smaller size and complexity than the estimate for this solicitation will be viewed less favorably. When evaluating size and complexity, the total of all contracts submitted will be considered, however, singular contract(s) that most closely resemble the size and complexity of this solicitation will be viewed more favorably. The size and complexity of an offeror's prior experience will only be evaluated under this element.

Relevant contracts for this subfactor are Prime Vendor / Regular Dealer commercial or Government contracts involving the delivery of supplies/products to customers on a recurring basis.

The Government will evaluate Offerors against the following estimated size and complexity for the solicited contract:

	Zone 1
Annual dollar value	\$71M
Estimated line items/SKUs	1200
Average delivery stops per week	17
Average line items ordered per week	120
Average delivery order dollar value	\$80,315

Element 2 – Key Personnel – The offeror's identification of key personnel, and their relevant individual experience, will be examined in order to determine the anticipated success of the firm in providing service to its customers.

Within the Experience subfactor, element 1 (Size and Complexity) is of greater importance than element 2 (Key Personnel).

Subfactor B: Past Performance

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's record of contract performance under the offeror's top (five) relevant contracts with respect to fill rate, problems/resolutions, and performance regarding supply chain management, warehouse operations, distribution/transportation, data/information management, implementation/transition performance, financial capability, etc. This evaluation will be based on information provided with the Offeror's proposal, government inhouse data, and responses received from Performance Questionnaires received from the Offeror's Points of contact. Relevant contracts for this subfactor are Prime Vendor / Regular Dealer commercial or Government contracts involving the delivery of supplies/products to customers on a recurring basis.

Subfactor C: Socioeconomic Considerations Past Performance

If an offeror has performed on Government contracts that are subject to prior socioeconomic goals (including any such contracts that are within the offeror's top selected contracts and any other Government contracts), they will be evaluated on their adherence to the requirements of these various socioeconomic considerations of past and current contracts. This aspect of the Government's evaluation is limited to socioeconomic matters only and other aspects of past performance are evaluated as described in the previous paragraph.

Subfactor D: Ability One (formerly JWOD) Support Past Performance

If an offeror has performed on Government contracts that are subject to prior Ability One (formerly JWOD) requirements (including any such contracts are within the offeror's top selected contracts and any other Government contracts), they will be evaluated on their adherence to the requirements of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act Entity Support of past and current contracts. This aspect of the Government's evaluation is limited to socioeconomic matters only and other aspects of past performance are evaluated as described in the previous paragraph.

Offerors that do not have records of past performance, socioeconomic past performance, and/or Ability One (formerly JWOD) past performance will receive a "Neutral" rating. This evaluation will also be performed for any partner involved in the proposed contract. Government (in-house) records will also be used for this evaluation, if applicable, and will be considered more reliable than information derived from other sources. A "Neutral" rating is only applicable to Sub Factors B, C, and D and will not be used for the overall Experience/Past Performance factor as a rating other than Neutral will be used for subfactor A (experience). A neutral evaluation is one that neither rewards nor penalizes offerors without relevant past performance history. While a neutral evaluation will not affect an offeror's rating, it may affect the offeror's ranking if a significant number of the other offerors participating in the acquisition have past performance ratings either above or below satisfactory.